

July 15, 2019

**COMPLEMENTARY NOTES TO THE BOOK “LECTURES ON
ALGEBRAIC QUANTUM GROUPS”***

MAURICIO MEDINA-BÁRCENAS

ABSTRACT.

1. HOPF ALGEBRAS

Let us fix a field k .

Given two vector spaces (over k) A and B , we will denote by $A \otimes B$ the tensor product over k .

Definition 1.1. Let A and B be vector spaces. The *twist map*

$$\tau : A \otimes B \longrightarrow B \otimes A$$

is the k -morphism sending $\tau(a \otimes b) = b \otimes a$.

Definition 1.2. An *algebra over k* or a *k -algebra* is a vector space A equipped with k -morphisms $\mu : A \otimes A \longrightarrow A$ and $u : k \longrightarrow A$ called the multiplication and the unit respectively, such that the following diagrams commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} k \otimes A & \xrightarrow{u \otimes I_A} & A \otimes A & \xleftarrow{I_A \otimes u} & A \otimes k \\ & \searrow \cong & \downarrow \mu & & \swarrow \cong \\ & & A & & \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} A \otimes A \otimes A & \xrightarrow{\mu \otimes I_A} & A \otimes A \\ I_A \otimes \mu \downarrow & & \downarrow \mu \\ A \otimes A & \xrightarrow{\mu} & A \end{array}$$

A is commutative if the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \otimes A & \xrightarrow{\tau} & A \otimes A \\ & \searrow \mu & \swarrow \mu \\ & & A \end{array}$$

Example 1.3. (i) k is a commutative k -algebra with multiplication $\mu(a \otimes b) = ab$ and unit $u(1) = 1$.
(ii) $k[x]$ the polynomial ring with coefficients in k .
(iii) $M_n(k)$ the n by n matrices with coefficients in k .
(iv) Tensor product of two algebras. Let (A, μ, u) and (A', μ', u') be two k -algebras. Then $A \otimes A'$ is a k -algebra with the following multiplication and unit:

$$\mu_{\otimes} : (A \otimes A') \otimes (A \otimes A') \xrightarrow{I_A \otimes \tau \otimes I_{A'}} (A \otimes A) \otimes (A' \otimes A') \xrightarrow{\mu \otimes \mu'} A \otimes A'$$

$$u_{\otimes}(1) = u(1) \otimes u'(1)$$

*Written by K. Brown and K. Goodearl [1].

Now, we can dualize the diagrams in the definition of k -algebra and we get a k -coalgebra:

Definition 1.4. A *coalgebra over k* or a *k -coalgebra* is a vector space C together k -morphisms $\Delta : C \rightarrow C \otimes C$ and $\varepsilon : C \rightarrow k$ called the comultiplication and the counit respectively, such that the following diagrams commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & C \otimes C \\ \Delta \downarrow & & \downarrow I_C \otimes \Delta \\ C \otimes C & \xrightarrow{\Delta \otimes I_C} & C \otimes C \otimes C \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccccc} & & C & & \\ & 1 \otimes _ & \downarrow \Delta & _ \otimes 1 & \\ k \otimes C & \xleftarrow{\varepsilon \otimes I_C} & C \otimes C & \xrightarrow{I_C \otimes \varepsilon} & C \otimes k \end{array}$$

C is cocommutative if the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & C & \\ \Delta \swarrow & & \searrow \Delta \\ C \otimes C & \xrightarrow{\tau} & C \otimes C \end{array}$$

Sweedler's Notation

For an element $c \in C$, we will write $\Delta(c) = \sum c_1 \otimes c_2 \in C \otimes C$ where c_1 and c_2 refer to variables elements of C , not uniquely, determined. The subscripts 1 and 2 indicate the position of these elements in the tensor product. With this notation the coassociativity of Δ is expressed by:

$$\begin{aligned} (I_C \otimes \Delta)\Delta(c) &= (I_C \otimes \Delta)(\sum c_1 \otimes c_2) \\ &= \sum c_1 \otimes \Delta(c_2) \\ &= \sum c_1 \otimes (c_{21} \otimes c_{22}) \\ &= \sum (c_{11} \otimes c_{12}) \otimes c_2 \\ &= \sum \Delta(c_1) \otimes c_2 \\ (\Delta \otimes I_C)\Delta(c) &= (\Delta \otimes I_C)(\sum c_1 \otimes c_2) \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

For the counit:

$$\begin{aligned} (\varepsilon \otimes I_C)\Delta(c) &= (\varepsilon \otimes I_C)(\sum c_1 \otimes c_2) \\ &= \sum \varepsilon(c_1) \otimes c_2 \\ &= 1 \otimes \sum \varepsilon(c_1)c_2 \\ &= 1 \otimes c \end{aligned}$$

This implies that,

$$c = \sum \varepsilon(c_1)c_2 \tag{1.2}$$

Analogously,

$$c = \sum c_1\varepsilon(c_2) \tag{1.3}$$

The cocommutativity is equivalent to

$$\sum c_1 \otimes c_2 = \sum c_2 \otimes c_1 \tag{1.4}$$

Example 1.5. (i) k is a coalgebra with comultiplication $\Delta(a) = 1 \otimes a$ and counit $\varepsilon = I_k$.

(ii) Let F be a vector space with basis $\{f_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$. Then there exist a unique k -morphism $\Delta : F \rightarrow F \otimes F$ such that $\Delta(f_\lambda) = f_\lambda \otimes f_\lambda$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$; and a unique k -morphism $\varepsilon : F \rightarrow k$ such that $\varepsilon(f_\lambda) = 1$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$.

Coassociativity

$$(I_F \otimes \Delta)\Delta(f_\lambda) = (I_F \otimes \Delta)(f_\lambda \otimes f_\lambda) = f_\lambda \otimes \Delta(f_\lambda) = f_\lambda \otimes f_\lambda \otimes f_\lambda$$

$$(\Delta \otimes I_F)\Delta(f_\lambda) = (\Delta \otimes I_F)(f_\lambda \otimes f_\lambda) = \Delta(f_\lambda) \otimes f_\lambda = f_\lambda \otimes f_\lambda \otimes f_\lambda$$

Counit For the counit we have

$$f_\lambda = 1f_\lambda = \varepsilon(f_\lambda)f_\lambda$$

(iii) Let G be a group. Consider the group ring $k[G]$. Then, we can give two coalgebra's structures to $k[G]$ as follows: The first structure is given by last example. That is, $\Delta(g) = g \otimes g$ and $\varepsilon(g) = 1$. For the other structure, let $e \in G$ the unit of G . We define

$$\Delta : k[G] \rightarrow k[G] \otimes k[G]$$

as follows:

$$\Delta(g) = \begin{cases} e \otimes e & \text{if } g = e \\ g \otimes e + e \otimes g & \text{if } g \neq e \end{cases}$$

and counit,

$$\varepsilon : k[G] \rightarrow k$$

as:

$$\varepsilon(g) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } g = e \\ 0 & \text{if } g \neq e \end{cases}$$

(iv) Consider the polynomial ring $k[x]$. We can give two coalgebra structures to $k[x]$. The first is given by the example (ii), with the canonical basis $\{1, x, x^2, x^3, \dots\}$. The second structure is as follows:

Comultiplication:

$$\Delta : k[x] \rightarrow k[x] \otimes k[x]$$

defined as

$$\Delta(x^i) = (x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x)^i$$

Counit:

$$\varepsilon : k[x] \rightarrow k$$

defined as

$$\varepsilon(x^i) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } i \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

Let us check the coassociativity,

$$\begin{aligned}
(1 \otimes \Delta)\Delta(x^i) &= (1 \otimes \Delta)(x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x)^i \\
&= (1 \otimes \Delta) \sum_{j=0}^i k_j (x^j \otimes x^{i-j}) \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^i k_i (x^j \otimes \Delta(x^{i-j})) \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^i k_i (x^j \otimes \sum_{\ell=0}^{i-j} a_\ell (x^\ell \otimes x^{i-j-\ell})) \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^i \sum_{\ell=0}^{i-j} k_i a_\ell (x^j \otimes x^\ell \otimes x^{i-j-\ell})
\end{aligned}$$

For some elements $k_j, a_\ell \in k$. On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
(\Delta \otimes 1)\Delta(x^i) &= (\Delta \otimes 1)(x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x)^i \\
&= (\Delta \otimes 1) \sum_{j=0}^i k_j (x^j \otimes x^{i-j}) \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^i k_i (\Delta(x^j) \otimes x^{i-j}) \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^i k_i (\sum_{\ell=0}^j a_\ell (x^\ell \otimes x^{j-\ell}) \otimes x^{i-j}) \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^i \sum_{\ell=0}^j k_i a_\ell (x^\ell \otimes x^{j-\ell} \otimes x^{i-j})
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that $(\Delta \otimes 1)\Delta(x^i) = (1 \otimes \Delta)\Delta(x^i)$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
(\varepsilon \otimes 1)\Delta(x^i) &= (\varepsilon \otimes 1) \sum_{j=0}^i k_j (x^j \otimes x^{i-j}) \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^i k_j (\varepsilon(x^j) \otimes x^{i-j}) \\
&= 1 \otimes x^i
\end{aligned}$$

Analogously, $(1 \otimes \varepsilon)\Delta(x^i) = x^i \otimes 1$. Thus $(k[x], \Delta, \varepsilon)$ is a coalgebra.

(v) Consider the matrix ring $\text{Mat}_n(k)$ with canonical basis $\{e_{ij}\}$. Define

$$\Delta : \text{Mat}_n(k) \longrightarrow \text{Mat}_n(k) \otimes \text{Mat}_n(k)$$

as follows

$$\Delta(e_{ij}) = \sum_{\ell} e_{i\ell} \otimes e_{\ell j}$$

And

$$\varepsilon : \text{Mat}_n(k) \longrightarrow k$$

as

$$\varepsilon(e_{ij}) = \delta_{ij}$$

We will call this coalgebra the $n \times n$ matrix coalgebra over k and we will denote it by $\text{Mat}_n^c(k)$. We can identify this coalgebra with the ring of polynomial functions on the space of $n \times n$ matrices over k

$$\mathcal{O}(\text{Mat}_n(k)) = k[X_{ij} \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq n]$$

sending $e_{ij} \mapsto X_{ij}$.

- (vi) The tensor product of two coalgebras. Let (C, Δ, ε) and $(C', \Delta', \varepsilon')$ be two coalgebras. Then the tensor product $C \otimes C'$ is a coalgebra with comultiplication and unit:

$$\Delta_{\otimes} : C \otimes C' \xrightarrow{\Delta \otimes \Delta} C \otimes C \otimes C' \otimes C' \xrightarrow{I_C \otimes \tau \otimes I_{C'}} C \otimes C' \otimes C \otimes C'$$

$$\varepsilon_{\otimes} : C \otimes C' \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon} k$$

Convolution Product

Example 1.6. Consider any coalgebra (C, Δ, ε) . Let C^* denote the dual of C as vector space, that is $C^* = \text{Hom}_k(C, k)$. Then C^* is a k -algebra with product given by the transpose of Δ , that is, for $f, g \in C^*$, $(f * g)(c) = \sum f(c_1)g(c_2)$ where $\Delta(c) = \sum c_1 \otimes c_2$.

Proposition 1.7. Let (A, μ, u) be an k -algebra and let (C, Δ, ε) be a k -coalgebra. Then $\text{Hom}_k(C, A)$ is a k -algebra with multiplication and unit as follows: Let $f, g \in \text{Hom}_k(C, A)$

$$* : \text{Hom}_k(C, A) \otimes \text{Hom}_k(C, A) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_k(C, A)$$

$$f * g = \mu(f \otimes g)\Delta$$

The unit is given by the composition $u\varepsilon$.

In the Sweedler's notation

$$(f * g)(c) = \sum f(c_1)g(c_2)$$

$$u\varepsilon(c) = \varepsilon(c)1_A$$

This product is called the convolution product.

Proof. Associativity.

$$\begin{aligned} f * (g * h) &= \mu(f \otimes (g * h))\Delta \\ &= \mu(f \otimes \mu(g \otimes h)\Delta)\Delta \\ &= \mu((I_A \otimes \mu)(f \otimes (g \otimes h))(I_C \otimes \Delta))\Delta \\ &= \mu((\mu \otimes I_A)((f \otimes g) \otimes h)(\Delta \otimes I_C))\Delta \\ &= \mu((\mu(f \otimes g) \otimes h)(\Delta \otimes I_C))\Delta \\ &= \mu(\mu(f \otimes g)\Delta \otimes h)\Delta \\ &= (f * g) * h \end{aligned}$$

Now, let us check that $u\varepsilon$ is the unit.

$$\begin{aligned}
(f * u\varepsilon)(c) &= \sum f(c_1)u\varepsilon(c_2) \\
&= \sum f(c_1)\varepsilon(c_2)1_A \\
&= \sum f(c_1\varepsilon(c_2)) \\
&= f(\sum c_1\varepsilon(c_2)) \\
&= f(c)
\end{aligned}$$

Last equality follows from (1.2). Analogously, $u\varepsilon * f = f$. \square

The dual of Proposition 1.7 fails since V is an infinite dimensional vector space, $V^* \otimes V^*$ is a proper subspace of $(V \otimes V)^*$, so that the dual of the multiplication map on an infinite dimensional algebra A need not take all values in $A^* \otimes A^*$.

Definition 1.8. Let A be a k -algebra. The finite dual of Hopf dual of A is the set

$$A^\circ = \{f \in A^* \mid f(I) = 0 \text{ for some ideal } I \text{ of } A \text{ with } \dim_k(A/I) < \infty\}$$

Proposition 1.9. Let (A, μ, u) be a k -algebra. Then A° is a coalgebra with comultiplication $\Delta = \mu^*$ and counit $\varepsilon = u^*$.

Definition 1.10. Let (A, μ, u) and (A', μ', u') be two algebras. A k -morphism $f : A \rightarrow A'$ is an algebra morphism if the following diagrams commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
A \otimes A' & \xrightarrow{\mu} & A \\
f \otimes f \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\
A \otimes A' & \xrightarrow{\mu} & A'
\end{array}
\qquad
\begin{array}{ccc}
k & \xrightarrow{u} & A \\
u' \searrow & & \swarrow f \\
& & A'
\end{array}$$

Definition 1.11. Let (C, Δ, ε) and $(C', \Delta', \varepsilon')$ be two coalgebras. A k -morphism $f : C \rightarrow C'$ is a coalgebra morphism if the following diagrams commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
C & \xrightarrow{f} & C' \\
\Delta \downarrow & & \downarrow \Delta' \\
C \otimes C & \xrightarrow{f \otimes f} & C' \otimes C'
\end{array}
\qquad
\begin{array}{ccc}
C & \xrightarrow{f} & C' \\
\varepsilon \searrow & & \swarrow \varepsilon' \\
& & k
\end{array}$$

Definition 1.12. Let (C, Δ, ε) be a coalgebra. A subspace I of C is a coideal if $\Delta(I) \subseteq C \otimes I + I \otimes C$ and $\varepsilon(I) = 0$.

Proposition 1.13. Let I be a coideal of a coalgebra (C, Δ, ε) . Then

- (a) C/I is a coalgebra.
- (b) The canonical projection $\pi : C \rightarrow C/I$ is a coalgebra morphism.

Proof. (a) Consider $\pi \otimes \pi : C \otimes C \rightarrow C/I \otimes C/I$. Then $\text{Ker}(\pi \otimes \pi) = C \otimes I + I \otimes C$. This implies that

$$\frac{C \otimes C}{C \otimes I + I \otimes C} \cong \frac{C}{I} \otimes \frac{C}{I}$$

\square

Hence the comultiplication $\Delta_{C/I}$ on C/I is induced by the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & I & \longrightarrow & C & \xrightarrow{\pi} & C/I & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \Delta \downarrow & & \Delta \downarrow & & \downarrow \exists \Delta_{C/I} & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & C \otimes I + I \otimes C & \longrightarrow & C \otimes C & \xrightarrow{\pi \otimes \pi} & C/I \otimes C/I & \longrightarrow & 0
 \end{array}$$

The counit is the map induced by ε ,

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 C & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} & k \\
 \pi \searrow & & \nearrow \varepsilon_{C/I} \\
 & C/I &
 \end{array}$$

For the coassociativity, we have to see that front face of the next cube commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & C & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & C \otimes C \\
 & \swarrow \pi & \downarrow \Delta_{C/I} & & \downarrow I_C \otimes \Delta \\
 C/I & \xrightarrow{\Delta_{C/I}} & C/I \otimes C/I & \xrightarrow{\pi \otimes \pi} & C/I \otimes C/I \\
 \Delta_{C/I} \downarrow & & \Delta \downarrow & & \downarrow I_{C/I} \otimes \Delta_{C/I} \\
 & \swarrow \pi \otimes \pi & C \otimes C & \xrightarrow{\Delta \otimes I_C} & C \otimes C \\
 & & \downarrow \Delta_{C/I} \otimes I_{C/I} & & \downarrow \pi \otimes \pi \otimes \pi \\
 C/I \otimes C/I & \xrightarrow{\Delta_{C/I} \otimes I_{C/I}} & C/I \otimes C/I \otimes C/I & \xrightarrow{\pi \otimes \pi \otimes \pi} & C/I \otimes C/I \otimes C/I
 \end{array}$$

The back face is the coassociativity of Δ . Notice that by the definition of $\Delta_{C/I}$ the top, bottom, right and left faces commute. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 (I_{C/I} \otimes \Delta_{C/I})\Delta_{C/I}\pi &= (I_{C/I} \otimes \Delta_{C/I})(\pi \otimes \pi)\Delta \\
 &= (\pi \otimes \pi \otimes \pi)(I_C \otimes \Delta) \\
 &= (\Delta_{C/I} \otimes I)(\pi \otimes \pi)\Delta \\
 &= (\Delta_{C/I} \otimes I_{C/I})\Delta_{C/I}\pi
 \end{aligned}$$

Since π is surjective, $(I_{C/I} \otimes \Delta_{C/I})\Delta_{C/I} = (\Delta_{C/I} \otimes I_{C/I})\Delta_{C/I}$.

It is left to the reader to see that $\varepsilon_{C/I}$ is a counit.

(b) It is clear for the construction of $\Delta_{C/I}$.

Definition 1.14. A k -Bialgebra is a k -vector space B equipped with linear maps $\mu, u, \Delta, \varepsilon$ such that (B, μ, u) is a k -algebra, (B, Δ, ε) is a k -coalgebra and either:

- Δ and ε are algebra morphisms, or
- μ and u are coalgebra morphisms.

In fact, these two last conditions are equivalent. For, suppose Δ and ε are algebra morphisms. Consider the following diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 B \otimes B & \xrightarrow{\mu} & B \\
 \Delta \otimes \Delta \downarrow & & \downarrow \Delta \\
 (B \otimes B) \otimes (B \otimes B) & & \\
 I_B \otimes \tau \otimes I_B \downarrow & & \\
 B \otimes B \otimes B \otimes B & \xrightarrow{\mu \otimes \mu} & B \otimes B
 \end{array}$$

If we recall the tensor algebra (Example 1.3(iv)) and the tensor coalgebra (Example 1.5(vi)) then we can see that if Δ is an algebra morphism then the diagram commutes. And, if ε is an algebra morphism then the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 B \otimes B & \xrightarrow{\mu} & B \\
 \varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon \downarrow & & \downarrow \varepsilon \\
 k \otimes k & \xrightarrow{\cong} & k
 \end{array}$$

Thus μ is a coalgebra morphism. To see that u is a coalgebra morphism, since ε is an algebra morphism then $\varepsilon u = I_k$ and since Δ is an algebra morphism this diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 k & \xrightarrow{u} & B \\
 u \otimes & \searrow & \swarrow \Delta \\
 & B \otimes B &
 \end{array}$$

commutes. So u is a coalgebra morphism. The other implication follows with the same diagrams.

Example 1.15. (i) Consider the coalgebra $k[G]$ with comultiplication $\Delta(g) = g \otimes g$ and counit $\varepsilon(g) = 1$ (Example 1.5(iii)). Then $k[G]$ is a bialgebra. Let see that Δ is an algebra morphism.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mu \otimes (\Delta \otimes \Delta)(g \otimes h) &= (\mu \otimes \mu)(I \otimes \tau \otimes I)(\Delta \otimes \Delta)(g \otimes h) \\
 &= (\mu \otimes \mu)(I \otimes \tau \otimes I)(g \otimes g \otimes h \otimes h) \\
 &= \mu \otimes \mu(g \otimes h \otimes g \otimes h) \\
 &= gh \otimes gh \\
 &= \Delta(gh) \\
 &= \Delta\mu(g \otimes h)
 \end{aligned}$$

And

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta u(1) &= \Delta(1) \\
 &= 1 \otimes 1 \\
 &= u \otimes (1)
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, Δ is an algebra morphism. It is clear that ε is an algebra morphism.

- (ii) Consider the coalgebra $\mathcal{O}(\text{Mat}_n(k))$ presented in Example 1.5(v) and consider the isomorphism

$$\mathcal{O}(\text{Mat}_n(k)) \otimes \mathcal{O}(\text{Mat}_n(k)) \xrightarrow[\cong]{\varphi} \mathcal{O}(\text{Mat}_n(k) \times \text{Mat}_n(k))$$

where $\varphi(f \otimes g)(a, b) = f(a)g(b)$. Let $m : \text{Mat}_n(k) \times \text{Mat}_n(k) \rightarrow \text{Mat}_n(k)$ denote the multiplication of matrices. Then the comultiplication in $\mathcal{O}(\text{Mat}_n(k))$ is given by $\Delta(f) = \varphi^{-1}(fm)$. Given $f, g \in \mathcal{O}(\text{Mat}_n(k))$ denote the product of polynomials as $f \cdot g$. Then

$$\Delta(f \cdot g) = \varphi^{-1}((f \cdot g)m) = \varphi^{-1}(fm \cdot gm) = \varphi^{-1}(fm)\varphi(gm) = \Delta(fm)\Delta(gm).$$

It is clear that $\Delta(1) = 1 \otimes 1$. Hence Δ is an algebra morphism. On the other hand, the counit of this coalgebra is $\varepsilon(f) = f(I_n)$ where I_n is the identity matrix, so it is clear that ε is an algebra morphism. Thus $\mathcal{O}(\text{Mat}_n(k))$ is a bialgebra.

- (iii) The *quantum plane*

$$\mathcal{O}_q(k^2) = k\langle x, y \mid xy = qyx \rangle$$

We have that $\mathcal{O}_q(k^2) \cong k[x][y; \tau]$ (an skew polynomial ring) where $\tau : k[x] \rightarrow k[x]$ is the automorphism given by $\tau(f(x)) = f(q^{-1}x)$ and so $\{x^i y^j \mid i, j \geq 0\}$ is a basis for this algebra. $\mathcal{O}_q(k^2)$ is a bialgebra with comultiplication given by

$$\Delta(x) = x \otimes x$$

$$\Delta(y) = y \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes y$$

and counit

$$\varepsilon(x) = 1$$

$$\varepsilon(y) = 0$$

Since $\mathcal{O}_q(k^2)$ is a free algebra, Δ and ε define algebra morphisms. What we have to check is that $(\mathcal{O}_q(k^2), \Delta, \varepsilon)$ is a coalgebra. By construction $\Delta(x^i y^j) = \mu_{\otimes}(\Delta(x)^i \otimes \Delta(y)^j)$ where μ_{\otimes} is the multiplication in the tensor algebra. For the coassociativity,

$$(\Delta \otimes 1)\Delta(x^i y^j) = (\Delta \otimes 1)\mu_{\otimes}(\Delta(x)^i \otimes \Delta(y)^j) = \mu_{\otimes}((\Delta \otimes 1)\Delta(x)^i \otimes (\Delta \otimes 1)\Delta(y)^j).$$

By Example 1.5(iv), this is equal to

$$\mu_{\otimes}((1 \otimes \Delta)\Delta(x)^i \otimes (1 \otimes \Delta)\Delta(y)^j) = (1 \otimes \Delta)\mu_{\otimes}(\Delta(x)^i \otimes \Delta(y)^j) = (1 \otimes \Delta)\Delta(x^i y^j).$$

Hence Δ is coassociative. Now, also using Example 1.5(iv),

$$\begin{aligned} (\varepsilon \otimes 1)\Delta(x^i y^j) &= (\varepsilon \otimes 1)\mu_{\otimes}(\Delta(x)^i \otimes \Delta(y)^j) = \mu_{\otimes}((\varepsilon \otimes 1)\Delta(x)^i \otimes (\varepsilon \otimes 1)\Delta(y)^j) \\ &= \mu_{\otimes}((1 \otimes x^i) \otimes (1 \otimes y^j)) = 1 \otimes x^i y^j. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $(\mathcal{O}_q(k^2), \Delta, \varepsilon)$ is a coalgebra and so $\mathcal{O}_q(k^2)$ is a bialgebra.

Definition 1.16. Let B be a bialgebra. A subspace I of B is a *biideal* if I is both, an ideal and a coideal.

Example 1.17. Consider the bialgebra $\mathcal{O}(\text{Mat}_n(k))$ (Example 1.15(ii)). Let $D \in \mathcal{O}(\text{Mat}_n(k))$ be the determinant function. Then $\varepsilon(D) = 1$ and $\Delta(D) = D \otimes D$. For, consider the isomorphism

$$\mathcal{O}(\text{Mat}_n(k)) \otimes \mathcal{O}(\text{Mat}_n(k)) \xrightarrow[\cong]{\varphi} \mathcal{O}(\text{Mat}_n(k) \times \text{Mat}_n(k)).$$

Since D commutes with products, $\Delta(D) = \varphi^{-1}(Dm)$ and $D \otimes D$ have the same image under the isomorphism φ . Therefore, $\Delta(D) = D \otimes D$. Let $\langle D - 1 \rangle$ be the ideal generated by $D - 1$. We have that

$$\Delta(D - 1) = (D - 1) \otimes (D - 1) \subseteq \langle D - 1 \rangle \otimes \mathcal{O}(\text{Mat}_n(k)) + \mathcal{O}(\text{Mat}_n(k)) \otimes \langle D - 1 \rangle.$$

And $\varepsilon(D - 1) = D(I_n) - 1 = 1 - 1 = 0$. Thus $\langle D - 1 \rangle$ is a biideal of $\mathcal{O}(\text{Mat}_n(k))$.

Definition 1.18. Let B and B' be two bialgebras. A k -morphism $f : B \rightarrow B'$ is a morphism of bialgebras if f is both, an algebra morphism and a coalgebra morphism.

Proposition 1.19. Let $(B, \mu, u, \Delta, \varepsilon)$ be a bialgebra. Then ε induces a left (right) B -module structure on k . This module will be denoted by ${}_{\varepsilon}k$ (k_{ε}).

Proof. Let $b \in B$ and $\alpha \in k$. Define $\alpha \cdot b = \varepsilon(b)\alpha$. Since ε is an algebra morphism, k is B -module. \square

The comultiplication in a bialgebra $(B, \mu, u, \Delta, \varepsilon)$ allows tensor products of B -modules to be made into B -modules. Suppose V and W are left B -modules and view the module multiplication as algebra homomorphism $m_V : B \rightarrow \text{End}_k(V)$ and $m_W : B \rightarrow \text{End}_k(W)$. Then there is an algebra homomorphism

$$B \xrightarrow{\Delta} B \otimes B \xrightarrow{m_V \otimes m_W} \text{End}_k(V) \otimes \text{End}_k(W) \hookrightarrow \text{End}_k(V \otimes W),$$

which turns $V \otimes W$ into a left B -module. The formula for the module multiplication is $b(v \otimes w) = \sum b_1 v \otimes b_2 w$ where $\Delta(b) = \sum b_1 \otimes b_2$.

Definition 1.20. A bialgebra $(H, \mu, u, \Delta, \varepsilon)$ is a Hopf algebra if there exists a linear map $S : H \rightarrow H$ such that

$$S * I_H = u\varepsilon = I_H * S,$$

that is, S is the inverse of the identity I_H in the convolution product. S is called the antipode of H .

By the definition we can see that

$$(1.5) \quad (S * I_H)(h) = \sum S(h_1)h_2 = \varepsilon(h)1_H$$

and

$$(1.6) \quad (I_H * S)(h) = \sum h_1 S(h_2) = \varepsilon(h)1_H$$

Definition 1.21. Let H and G be two Hopf algebras. A k -morphism $f : H \rightarrow G$ is a morphism of Hopf algebras if f is both, an algebra and a coalgebra morphism such that $fS_H = S_G f$.

Definition 1.22. An ideal I of H is a Hopf ideal if I is a coideal and $S(I) \subseteq I$.

Let I be a Hopf ideal of a Hopf algebra H . Since I is a coideal then H/I is a bialgebra. Moreover, since $S(I) \subseteq I$, pass to S/I . Hence S/I is a Hopf algebra.

Remark 1.23. If $f : H \rightarrow G$ is a morphism of Hopf algebras then $\text{Ker } f$ is a Hopf ideal. For, since f is a coalgebra morphism $\text{Ker } f$ is a coideal. Now, $f(S_H)(\text{Ker } f) = S_G(f(\text{Ker } f)) = 0$. Thus, $S_H(\text{Ker } f) \subseteq \text{Ker } f$. Taking the canonical projection, every Hopf ideal is a kernel.

Example 1.24. (i) Let $H = k[x, x^{-1}]$. We know that H is an algebra. By Example 1.5.(ii) H is a coalgebra with comultiplication $\Delta(x^i) = x^i \otimes x^i$ and counit $\varepsilon(x^i) = 1$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let us see that μ and u are morphism of coalgebras. That is, we have to see that the following diagrams commute

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H \otimes H & \xrightarrow{\mu} & H \\ \Delta_{\otimes} \downarrow & & \downarrow \Delta \\ H \otimes H \otimes H \otimes H & \xrightarrow{\mu \otimes \mu} & H \otimes H \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H \otimes H & \xrightarrow{\mu} & H \\ \varepsilon_{\otimes} \searrow & & \swarrow \varepsilon \\ & k & \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} k & \xrightarrow{u} & H \\ \cong \downarrow & & \downarrow \Delta \\ k \otimes k & \xrightarrow{u \otimes u} & H \otimes H \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} k & \xrightarrow{u} & H \\ I_k \searrow & & \swarrow \varepsilon \\ & k & \end{array}$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned} (\mu \otimes \mu)\Delta_{\otimes}(x^i \otimes x^j) &= (\mu \otimes \mu)(x^i \otimes x^j \otimes x^i \otimes x^j) \\ &= x^i x^j \otimes x^i x^j \\ &= \Delta(x^i x^j) \\ &= \Delta\mu(x^i \otimes x^j) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon\mu(x^i \otimes x^j) &= \varepsilon(x^i x^j) \\ &= 1 \\ &= \varepsilon_{\otimes}(x^i \otimes x^j) \end{aligned}$$

Thus, μ is an coalgebra morphism.

Now, for u ,

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta u(1) &= \Delta(1_H) \\ &= 1_H \otimes 1_H \\ &= (u \otimes u)(1)\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon u(1) &= \varepsilon(1_H) \\ &= 1\end{aligned}$$

Thus, u is a coalgebra morphism. Hence, H is a bialgebra. Define $S : H \rightarrow H$ as $S(x^i) = x^{-i}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}(S * I_H)(x^i) &= S(x^i)x^i = x^{-i}x^i = 1_H = u\varepsilon(x^i) \\ (I_H * S)(x^i) &= x^i S(x^i) = x^i x^{-i} = 1_H = u\varepsilon(x^i)\end{aligned}$$

Thus H is a Hopf algebra.

- (ii) The bialgebra $k[G]$ with comultiplication $\Delta(g) = g \otimes g$ and counit $\varepsilon(g) = 1$, is a Hopf algebra with antipode $S : k[G] \rightarrow k[G]$ defined by $S(g) = g^{-1}$. For instance, the simplest infinite noncommutative example is the group algebra over k of the infinite dihedral group

$$G = \langle a, x \mid xax = a^{-1}, x^2 = 1 \rangle.$$

In this case $k[G]$ is the k -algebra generated by a, a^{-1}, x subject to the above relations and $aa^{-1} = 1 = a^{-1}a$.

- (iii) Let (G, e) be a group. Then $\mathcal{F}(G) = \{f : G \rightarrow k\}$ is an algebra with the point-wise multiplication. Moreover $\mathcal{F}(G)$ is a Hopf algebra, the counit and the antipode are defined as

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon(f) &= f(e) \\ S(f)(g) &= f(g^{-1})\end{aligned}$$

Note that $\mathcal{F}(G \times G) \cong \mathcal{F}(G) \otimes \mathcal{F}(G)$ as k -algebras, this isomorphism sends $f_1 \otimes f_2$ to the function defined by $(g, h) \mapsto f_1(g)f_2(h)$. The comultiplication is given by

$$\Delta : \mathcal{F}(G) \xrightarrow{-\circ\mu} \mathcal{F}(G \times G) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{F}(G) \otimes \mathcal{F}(G).$$

- (iv) By Example 1.15(ii), $\mathcal{O}(\text{Mat}_n(k))$ is a bialgebra. Consider the factor bialgebra given by the biideal $\langle D - 1 \rangle$ (Example 1.17).

$$\mathcal{O}(Sl_n(k)) = \mathcal{O}(\text{Mat}_n(k)) / \langle D - 1 \rangle.$$

Recall that if A is a matrix with nonzero determinant, the inverse of A can be computed as $A^{-1} = \frac{1}{D(A)} \text{adj}(A)$, where $\text{adj}(A)$ is the matrix of cofactors.

Define $S : \mathcal{O}(Sl_n(k)) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(Sl_n(k))$ as SX_{ij} the ij -entry of $(X_{ij})^{-1}$ (modulo $D - 1$). Then,

$$(S * I_{\mathcal{O}(Sl_2(k))})(X_{ij}) = \sum_{k=1}^n S(X_{ik})X_{kj} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} = \varepsilon(X_{ij})1.$$

Thus, S is an antipode and so $\mathcal{O}(Sl_n(k))$ is a Hopf algebra. In particular $\mathcal{O}(Sl_2(k)) = K[a, b, c, d \mid ad - bc = 1]$ where we have written a for X_{11} , b for X_{12} and so on. Hence,

	a	b	c	d
Δ	$a \otimes a + b \otimes c$	$a \otimes b + b \otimes d$	$c \otimes a + d \otimes c$	$c \otimes b + d \otimes d$
ε	1	0	0	1
S	d	$-b$	$-c$	a

(v) Consider the ring of fractions of $\mathcal{O}(\text{Mat}_n(k))$:

$$\mathcal{O}(GL_n(k)) = \mathcal{O}(\text{Mat}_n(k))[D^{-1}].$$

So, a canonical element in $\mathcal{O}(GL_n(k))$ has the form $\frac{f}{D^n}$ with $f \in \mathcal{O}(\text{Mat}_n(k))$ and $n > 0$. Let $\varphi : \mathcal{O}(\text{Mat}_n(k)) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(GL_n(k))$ be the localization morphism. Note that $(\varphi \otimes \varphi)\Delta(D) = \frac{1}{D} \otimes \frac{1}{D}$. Hence $(\varphi \otimes \varphi)\Delta(D)$ is invertible in $\mathcal{O}(GL_n(k)) \otimes \mathcal{O}(GL_n(k))$. Thus, there exists a unique algebra morphism $\Delta_D : \mathcal{O}(GL_n(k)) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(GL_n(k)) \otimes \mathcal{O}(GL_n(k))$. We can see that $\Delta_D(\frac{f}{D^n}) = \sum \frac{f_1}{D^n} \otimes \frac{f_2}{D^n}$ where $\Delta(f) = \sum f_1 \otimes f_2$. On the other hand, since $\varepsilon(D) = 1$, there exists a unique algebra morphism $\varepsilon_D : \mathcal{O}(GL_n(k)) \rightarrow k$ such that $\varepsilon_D(\frac{f}{D^n}) = f(I_n)$. It is not difficult to see that $(\mathcal{O}(GL_n(k)), \Delta_D, \varepsilon_D)$ is a bialgebra. Moreover, $\mathcal{O}(GL_n(k))$ is a Hopf algebra where the antipode can be defined as in the previous example.

(vi) Let \mathfrak{g} be a Lie algebra and let $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ its universal enveloping algebra. The universal enveloping algebra $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ is the quotient of the tensor algebra $T(\mathfrak{g}) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathfrak{g}^{\otimes n}$ by the two sided ideal generated by the elements $x \otimes y - y \otimes x - [x, y]$ for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$. The Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt Theorem [5] asserts that if $\{x_i\}_I$ is any basis for \mathfrak{g} , where the index set I is totally ordered, the set of monomials $\{x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_k}\}$ where $k \geq 1$ and $i_1 \leq i_2 \leq \cdots \leq i_k$, is a basis for $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$. The composition of the natural maps $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow T(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ is an embedding. Hence we can identify \mathfrak{g} with its image in $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$. To define an algebra morphism from $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$, it is enough to define it in \mathfrak{g} , since \mathfrak{g} generates $T(\mathfrak{g})$ as algebra and check that the morphism pass to the factor algebra. We define the following morphisms:

$$\Delta(x) = x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x$$

$$\varepsilon(x) = 0,$$

for $x \in \mathfrak{g}$. Let us see that these algebra morphisms pass to $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$.

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(xy - yx) &= \Delta(x)\Delta(y) - \Delta(y)\Delta(x) \\ &= (x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x)(y \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes y) - (y \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes y)(x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x) \\ &= (xy \otimes 1 + x \otimes y + y \otimes x + 1 \otimes xy) - (yx \otimes 1 + y \otimes x + x \otimes y + 1 \otimes yx) \\ &= (xy - yx) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes (xy - yx) \\ &= [x, y] \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes [x, y] \\ &= \Delta([x, y]) \end{aligned}$$

Hence Δ defines an algebra morphism from $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$. It is clear that $\varepsilon : \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow k$ is an algebra homomorphism. Now, define $S : T(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})^{op}$ by the rule

$$S(x) = -x.$$

Then, S is an anti-homomorphism $S : T(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$. Hence,

$$S(xy - yx) = S(xy) - S(yx) = S(y)S(x) - S(x)S(y) = yx - xy = -[x, y] = S([x, y]).$$

Hence, $S : \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$. Moreover, for any $x \in \mathfrak{g}$

$$(S * I_{\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})})(x) = S(x)1 + S(1)x = -x + x = 0 = u\varepsilon(x)$$

Analogously,

$$(I_{\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})} * S)(x) = xS(1) + 1S(x) = x - x = 0 = u\varepsilon(x)$$

Let $y \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $x \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$, then:

$$\begin{aligned} (S * I_{\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})})(xy) &= \mu(S \otimes I_{\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})})\Delta(xy) \\ &= \mu(S \otimes I_{\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})})(\Delta(x)\Delta(y)) \\ &= \mu(S \otimes I_{\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})})(\Delta(x)(y \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes y)) \\ &= \mu(S \otimes I_{\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})})(\Delta(x)(y \otimes 1) + \Delta(x)(1 \otimes y)) \\ &= \mu(S \otimes I_{\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})})(\Delta(x)(y \otimes 1)) + \mu(S \otimes I_{\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})})(\Delta(x)(1 \otimes y)) \\ &= \mu(S \otimes I_{\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})})((\sum x_1 \otimes x_2)(y \otimes 1)) + \mu(S \otimes I_{\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})})((\sum x_1 \otimes x_2)(1 \otimes y)) \\ &= \mu(S \otimes I_{\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})})(\sum x_1 y \otimes x_2) + \mu(S \otimes I_{\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})})(\sum x_1 \otimes x_2 y) \\ &= \sum S(x_1 y)x_2 + \sum S(x_1)x_2 y \\ &= \sum S(y)S(x_1)x_2 + \sum S(x_1)x_2 y \\ &= S(y)(S * I_{\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})})(x) + (S * I_{\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})})(x)y \\ &= -y(S * I_{\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})})(x) + (S * I_{\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})})(x)y \end{aligned}$$

In particular, if $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$, then $(S * I_{\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})})(xy) = 0$. Therefore, by induction $(S * I_{\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})})(x_{i_1}x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_k}) = 0$ for every monoid. Analogously $(I_{\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})} * S)(x_{i_1}x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_k}) = 0$. Thus S is an antipode, and so $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ is a Hopf algebra.

Consider $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2(k)$, with k -basis,

$$e = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad f = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad h = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ is the k -algebra with generators e, f and h and relations

$$he - eh = 2e \quad hf - fh = -2f \quad ef - fe = h$$

Proposition 1.25. *Let $(H, \mu, u, \Delta, \varepsilon, S)$ be a Hopf algebra.*

- (1) $S(gh) = S(h)S(g)$ and $S(1_H) = 1_H$.
- (2) If H is either commutative or co-commutative then $S^2 = I_H$.

Proof. 1. We have that $H \otimes H$ is a coalgebra, then $\text{Hom}_k(H \otimes H, H)$ is an algebra. Let $m, \rho \in \text{Hom}_k(H \otimes H, H)$ as follows:

$$m(g \otimes h) = S(h)S(g)$$

$$\rho(g \otimes h) = S(gh)$$

We claim that $\rho * \mu = \mu * m = u\varepsilon_\otimes$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \rho * \mu(g \otimes h) &= \mu(\rho \otimes \mu)\Delta_\otimes(g \otimes h) \\
 &= \mu(S \otimes I_H)(\mu \otimes \mu)\Delta_\otimes(g \otimes h) \\
 \Delta \text{ is an alg. morph.} &= \mu(S \otimes I_H)\Delta\mu(g \otimes h) \\
 &= \mu(S \otimes I_H)\Delta(gh) \\
 &= \mu(S \otimes I_H)(\sum (gh)_1 \otimes (gh)_2) \\
 &= \sum S((gh)_1)(gh)_2 \\
 \text{By (1.5)} &= \varepsilon(gh)1_H \\
 &= u\varepsilon_\otimes(g \otimes h)
 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mu * m(g \otimes h) &= \mu(\mu \otimes m)\Delta_\otimes(g \otimes h) \\
 &= \mu(\mu \otimes \mu)(I_{H \otimes H} \otimes (S \otimes S))(I_{H \otimes H} \otimes \tau)(\sum g_1 \otimes h_1 \otimes g_2 \otimes h_2) \\
 &= \mu(\mu \otimes \mu)(I_{H \otimes H} \otimes (S \otimes S))(\sum g_1 \otimes h_1 \otimes h_2 \otimes g_2) \\
 &= \mu(\mu \otimes \mu)(\sum g_1 \otimes h_1 \otimes S(h_2) \otimes S(g_2)) \\
 &= \sum g_1 h_1 S(h_2) S(g_2) \\
 \text{By (1.6)} &= \sum g_1 \varepsilon(h) S(g_2) \\
 \text{By (1.6)} &= \varepsilon(g) \varepsilon(h) 1_H \\
 &= u\varepsilon_\otimes(g \otimes h)
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence ρ and m are inverses of μ in the convolution product. Thus, $\rho = m$. Now, we have that $S * I_H = u\varepsilon$, hence

$$1_H = \varepsilon(1_H)1_H = u\varepsilon(1_H) = (S * I_H)(1_H) = S(1_H)1_H = S(1_H)$$

2. Suppose H is commutative. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (S * S^2)(g) &= \mu(S \otimes S^2)\Delta(g) \\
 &= \mu(S \otimes S^2)(\sum g_1 \otimes g_2) \\
 &= \sum S(g_1)S^2(g_2) \\
 &= S(\sum S(g_2)g_1) \\
 H \text{ is commutative} &= S(\sum g_1 S(g_2)) \\
 &= S(\varepsilon(g)) \\
 &= \varepsilon(g)S(1_H) \\
 &= \varepsilon(g)1_H \\
 &= u\varepsilon(g)
 \end{aligned}$$

Analogously, $S^2 * S = u\varepsilon$. Hence S^2 is an inverse of S in the convolution product. Thus $S^2 = I_H$. \square

References: [1], [2], [3], [4].

2. MARGIN NOTES AND EXERCISES

Pag. 5, Eq (3).

In the ring $\text{Mat}_n(k)$ we have additional structure given by the multiplication of row or column vectors by matrices. These give morphisms

$$R : k^n \times \text{Mat}_n(k) \longrightarrow k^n \text{ and } C : \text{Mat}_n(k) \times k^n \longrightarrow k^n.$$

Hence R and C induces algebra homomorphisms in the coordinate rings:

$$\mathcal{O}(k^n) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(k^n \times \text{Mat}_n(k)) \cong \mathcal{O}(k^n) \otimes \mathcal{O}(\text{Mat}_n(k))$$

and

$$\mathcal{O}(k^n) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(\text{Mat}_n(k) \times k^n) \cong \mathcal{O}(\text{Mat}_n(k)) \otimes \mathcal{O}(k^n)$$

given by precompose R and C respectively. Let us check what the first morphism is doing. Consider the coordinate function $x_j \in \mathcal{O}(k^n)$ and let $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in k^n$ and $(b_{ij}) \in \text{Mat}_n(k)$. Then

$$x_j R((a_1, \dots, a_n), (b_{ij})) = x_j \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_{i1}, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_{in} \right) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_{ij}.$$

This implies that

$$x_j \longmapsto \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \otimes X_{ij} \in \mathcal{O}(k^n) \otimes \mathcal{O}(\text{Mat}_n(k)).$$

Analogously,

$$x_i \longmapsto \sum_{j=1}^n X_{ij} \otimes x_j \in \mathcal{O}(\text{Mat}_n(k)) \otimes \mathcal{O}(k^n).$$

which are equations (3) in [1, Pp. 5].

Example I.1.6 and Exercise I.1.C

We want to quantize $Sl_n(k)$ (Example 1.24(iv)) but first we have to quantize $\text{Mat}_n(k)$ (Example 1.15(ii)). For, we need a bialgebra $(B, \mu, u, \Delta, \varepsilon)$, generated as k -algebra by elements X_{ij} satisfying:

$$\Delta(X_{ij}) = \sum_{\ell=1}^n X_{i\ell} \otimes X_{\ell j}$$

and

$$\varepsilon(X_{ij}) = \delta_{ij};$$

which supports k -algebra homomorphisms

$$R : \mathcal{O}_q(k^n) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_q(k^n) \otimes B \text{ and } C : \mathcal{O}_q(k^n) \longrightarrow B \otimes \mathcal{O}_q(k^n)$$

satisfying:

$$x_j \longmapsto \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \otimes X_{ij} \text{ and } x_i \longmapsto \sum_{j=1}^n X_{ij} \otimes x_j.$$

where $\mathcal{O}_q(k^n) = k \langle x_1, \dots, x_n \mid x_i x_j = q x_j x_i \text{ for } i < j \rangle$ the quantum affine n -space.

For convenience, let us check the case $n = 2$. Consider $\rho_i : \mathcal{O}_q(k^2) \longrightarrow k$ defined as $\rho_i(x_j) = \delta_{ij}$ for $i = 1, 2$. It is clear that ρ_i is a k -algebra homomorphism for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then we have the compositions

$$(\rho_i \otimes 1)R : \mathcal{O}_q(k^2) \longrightarrow B$$

and

$$(1 \otimes \rho_j)C : \mathcal{O}_q(k^2) \longrightarrow B.$$

Note that $(\rho_i \otimes 1)R(x_j) = X_{ij}$ and $(1 \otimes \rho_j)C(x_i) = X_{ij}$. Hence,

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} X_{1\ell}X_{2\ell} &= (1 \otimes \rho_\ell)C(x_1x_2) = (1 \otimes \rho_\ell)C(qx_2x_1) = qX_{2\ell}X_{1\ell} \\ X_{\ell 1}X_{\ell 2} &= (\rho_\ell \otimes 1)R(x_1x_2) = (\rho_\ell \otimes 1)R(qx_2x_1) = qX_{\ell 2}X_{\ell 1} \end{aligned}$$

for $\ell = 1, 2$.

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} C(x_1x_2) &= (X_{11} \otimes x_1 + X_{12} \otimes x_2)(X_{21} \otimes x_1 + X_{22} \otimes x_2) \\ &= X_{11}X_{21} \otimes x_1^2 + X_{12}X_{21} \otimes x_2x_1 + X_{11}X_{22} \otimes x_1x_2 + X_{12}X_{22} \otimes x_2^2 \\ &= X_{11}X_{21} \otimes x_1^2 + (q^{-1}X_{12}X_{21} + X_{11}X_{22}) \otimes x_1x_2 + X_{12}X_{22} \otimes x_2^2 \\ qC(x_2x_1) &= q(X_{21} \otimes x_1 + X_{22} \otimes x_2)(X_{11} \otimes x_1 + X_{12} \otimes x_2) \\ &= qX_{21}X_{11} \otimes x_1^2 + qX_{22}X_{11} \otimes x_2x_1 + qX_{21}X_{12} \otimes x_1x_2 + qX_{22}X_{12} \otimes x_2^2 \\ &= X_{11}X_{21} \otimes x_1^2 + (X_{22}X_{11} + qX_{21}X_{12}) \otimes x_1x_2 + X_{12}X_{22} \otimes x_2^2 \end{aligned}$$

Then $(q^{-1}X_{12}X_{21} + X_{11}X_{22}) - (X_{22}X_{11} + qX_{21}X_{12}) \otimes x_1x_2 = 0$. Analogously, using R we have $x_1x_2 \otimes (q^{-1}X_{21}X_{12} + X_{11}X_{22}) - (X_{22}X_{11} + qX_{12}X_{21}) = 0$.

Remark 2.1. Let V and W be k -vector spaces. Take $0 \neq w \in W$ then the k -morphism $(w \otimes _): V \longrightarrow W \otimes V$ is injective.

By the Remark we have that

$$(2.2) \quad X_{11}X_{22} - X_{22}X_{11} = qX_{21}X_{12} - q^{-1}X_{12}X_{21} = qX_{12}X_{21} - q^{-1}X_{21}X_{12}.$$

Now, suppose $q^2 \neq -1$. Then multiplying equation 2.2 by q we get

$$q^2X_{21}X_{12} - X_{12}X_{21} = q^2X_{12}X_{21} - X_{21}X_{12}$$

and so

$$-q^2(X_{12}X_{21} - X_{21}X_{12}) = X_{12}X_{21} - X_{21}X_{12}.$$

It implies that

$$(2.3) \quad X_{12}X_{21} = X_{21}X_{12}$$

Therefore

$$(2.4) \quad X_{11}X_{22} - X_{22}X_{11} = qX_{21}X_{12} - q^{-1}X_{12}X_{21} = (q - q^{-1})X_{12}X_{21}$$

Exercise I.1.D

Let B the k -algebra given by generators $X_{11}, X_{12}, X_{21}, X_{22}$ and the relations 2.1, 2.2, 2.3 and 2.4. Let us see that the k -algebra morphism

$$\Delta : k \langle X_{11}, X_{12}, X_{21}, X_{22} \rangle \longrightarrow B \otimes B$$

defined in generators as $\Delta(X_{ij}) = X_{i1} \otimes X_{1j} + X_{i2} \otimes X_{2j}$ respects the relations in B .

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(X_{\ell_1} X_{\ell_2}) &= (X_{\ell_1} \otimes X_{11} + X_{\ell_2} \otimes X_{21})(X_{\ell_1} \otimes X_{12} + X_{\ell_2} \otimes X_{22}) \\ &= X_{\ell_1}^2 \otimes X_{11} X_{12} + X_{\ell_2} X_{\ell_1} \otimes X_{21} X_{12} + X_{\ell_1} X_{\ell_2} \otimes X_{11} X_{22} + X_{\ell_2}^2 \otimes X_{21} X_{22} \\ \text{Using 2.1} &= X_{\ell_1}^2 \otimes X_{12} X_{11} + X_{\ell_2} X_{\ell_1} \otimes X_{21} X_{12} + q X_{\ell_2} X_{\ell_1} \otimes X_{11} X_{22} + X_{\ell_2}^2 \otimes X_{22} X_{21} \\ &= X_{\ell_1}^2 \otimes X_{12} X_{11} + X_{\ell_2} X_{\ell_1} \otimes (X_{21} X_{12} + q X_{11} X_{22}) + X_{\ell_2}^2 \otimes X_{22} X_{21} \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} q\Delta(X_{\ell_2} X_{\ell_1}) &= q[(X_{\ell_1} \otimes X_{12} + X_{\ell_2} \otimes X_{22})(X_{\ell_1} \otimes X_{11} + X_{\ell_2} \otimes X_{21})] \\ &= q[X_{\ell_1}^2 \otimes X_{12} X_{11} + X_{\ell_2} X_{\ell_1} \otimes X_{22} X_{11} + X_{\ell_1} X_{\ell_2} \otimes X_{12} X_{21} + X_{\ell_2}^2 \otimes X_{22} X_{21}] \\ \text{Using 2.1} &= q[X_{\ell_1}^2 \otimes X_{12} X_{11} + X_{\ell_2} X_{\ell_1} \otimes X_{22} X_{11} + q X_{\ell_2} X_{\ell_1} \otimes X_{12} X_{21} + X_{\ell_2}^2 \otimes X_{22} X_{21}] \\ &= q[X_{\ell_1}^2 \otimes X_{12} X_{11} + X_{\ell_2} X_{\ell_1} \otimes (X_{22} X_{11} + q X_{12} X_{21}) + X_{\ell_2}^2 \otimes X_{22} X_{21}] \\ \text{Using 2.2} &= q[X_{\ell_1}^2 \otimes X_{12} X_{11} + X_{\ell_2} X_{\ell_1} \otimes (X_{11} X_{22} + q^{-1} X_{21} X_{12}) + X_{\ell_2}^2 \otimes X_{22} X_{21}] \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\Delta(X_{\ell_1} X_{\ell_2}) = q\Delta(X_{\ell_2} X_{\ell_1})$ for $\ell = 1, 2$.

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(X_{11} X_{22}) &= (X_{11} \otimes X_{11} + X_{12} \otimes X_{21})(X_{21} \otimes X_{12} + X_{22} \otimes X_{22}) \\ &= X_{11} X_{21} \otimes X_{11} X_{12} + X_{12} X_{21} \otimes X_{21} X_{12} + X_{11} X_{22} \otimes X_{11} X_{22} + X_{12} X_{22} \otimes X_{21} X_{22} \\ \text{Using 2.1} &= q^2 X_{21} X_{11} \otimes X_{12} X_{11} + X_{12} X_{21} \otimes X_{21} X_{12} + X_{11} X_{22} \otimes X_{11} X_{22} + q^2 X_{22} X_{12} \otimes X_{22} X_{21} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(X_{22} X_{11}) &= (X_{21} \otimes X_{12} + X_{22} \otimes X_{22})(X_{11} \otimes X_{11} + X_{12} \otimes X_{21}) \\ &= X_{21} X_{11} \otimes X_{12} X_{11} + X_{21} X_{12} \otimes X_{12} X_{21} + X_{22} X_{11} \otimes X_{22} X_{11} + X_{22} X_{12} \otimes X_{22} X_{21} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} q\Delta(X_{21} X_{12}) &= q(X_{21} \otimes X_{11} + X_{22} \otimes X_{21})(X_{11} \otimes X_{12} + X_{12} \otimes X_{22}) \\ &= q[X_{21} X_{11} \otimes X_{11} X_{12} + X_{22} X_{11} \otimes X_{21} X_{12} + X_{21} X_{12} \otimes X_{11} X_{22} + X_{22} X_{12} \otimes X_{21} X_{22}] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} q^{-1}\Delta(X_{12} X_{21}) &= q^{-1}(X_{11} \otimes X_{12} + X_{12} \otimes X_{22})(X_{21} \otimes X_{11} + X_{22} \otimes X_{21}) \\ &= q^{-1}[X_{11} X_{21} \otimes X_{12} X_{11} + X_{11} X_{22} \otimes X_{12} X_{21} + X_{12} X_{21} \otimes X_{22} X_{11} + X_{12} X_{22} \otimes X_{22} X_{21}] \\ \text{Using 2.1} &= q^{-1}[X_{21} X_{11} \otimes X_{11} X_{12} + X_{11} X_{22} \otimes X_{12} X_{21} + X_{12} X_{21} \otimes X_{22} X_{11} + X_{22} X_{12} \otimes X_{21} X_{22}] \end{aligned}$$

In this way, the other relations can be checked. The k -algebra morphism

$$\varepsilon : k \langle X_{11}, X_{12}, X_{21}, X_{22} \rangle \longrightarrow k$$

defined in generators as $\Delta(X_{ij}) = \delta_{ij}$ respects the relations in B . If fact, ε sends all the relations in B to zero. Hence, we have a bialgebra denoted $\mathcal{O}_q(\text{Mat}_2(k))$ called *the quantum 2×2 matrix algebra*. Now let us see that the maps

$$R : \mathcal{O}_q(k^2) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_q(k^2) \otimes B \quad \text{and} \quad C : \mathcal{O}_q(k^2) \longrightarrow B \otimes \mathcal{O}_q(k^2)$$

given by:

$$x_j \longmapsto x_1 \otimes X_{1j} + x_2 \otimes X_{2j} \quad \text{and} \quad x_i \longmapsto X_{i1} \otimes x_1 + X_{i2} \otimes x_2,$$

are well defined, that is, $R(x_1x_2) = R(qx_2x_1)$ and $C(x_1x_2) = C(qx_2x_1)$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 R(x_1x_2) &= (x_1 \otimes X_{11} + x_2 \otimes X_{21})(x_1 \otimes X_{12} + x_2 \otimes X_{22}) \\
 &= x_1^2 \otimes X_{11}X_{12} + x_2x_1 \otimes X_{21}X_{12} + x_1x_2 \otimes X_{11}X_{22} + x_2^2 \otimes X_{21}X_{22} \\
 \text{Using 2.1} &= qx_1^2 \otimes X_{12}X_{11} + x_2x_1 \otimes X_{21}X_{12} + qx_2x_1 \otimes X_{11}X_{22} + qx_2^2 \otimes X_{22}X_{21} \\
 &= qx_1^2 \otimes X_{12}X_{11} + x_2x_1 \otimes (X_{21}X_{12} + qX_{11}X_{22}) + qx_2^2 \otimes X_{22}X_{21} \\
 \text{Using 2.2} &= qx_1^2 \otimes X_{12}X_{11} + x_2x_1 \otimes (q^2X_{12}X_{21} + qX_{22}X_{11}) + qx_2^2 \otimes X_{22}X_{21}
 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned}
 qR(x_2x_1) &= (x_1 \otimes X_{12} + x_2 \otimes X_{22})(x_1 \otimes X_{11} + x_2 \otimes X_{21}) \\
 &= q [x_1^2 \otimes X_{12}X_{11} + x_2x_1 \otimes X_{22}X_{11} + x_1x_2 \otimes X_{12}X_{21} + x_2^2 \otimes X_{22}X_{21}] \\
 &= q [x_1^2 \otimes X_{12}X_{11} + x_2x_1 \otimes X_{22}X_{11} + qx_2x_1 \otimes X_{12}X_{21} + x_2^2 \otimes X_{22}X_{21}] \\
 &= q [x_1^2 \otimes X_{12}X_{11} + x_2x_1 \otimes (X_{22}X_{11} + qX_{12}X_{21}) + x_2^2 \otimes X_{22}X_{21}]
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus $R(x_1x_2) = R(qx_2x_1)$. Analogously, $C(x_1x_2) = C(qx_2x_1)$.

Exercise I.1.E

It can be seen that in last exercise, all computations were made using equations 2.1 and 2.2. Hence, we have a bialgebra B satisfying equations 2.1 and 2.2. Now, consider the ordered monomials $X_{11}^\bullet X_{12}^\bullet X_{21}^\bullet X_{22}^\bullet$ in B . From equation 2.2 we get that $X_{21}X_{12} = q^2X_{12}X_{21} + qX_{11}X_{22} + qX_{22}X_{11}$. This implies that the monomials $X_{11}^\bullet X_{12}^\bullet X_{21}^\bullet X_{22}^\bullet$ generate $X_{21}X_{12}$ if and only if they generate $X_{22}X_{11}$.

Pag. 6, Example I.1.8

The exterior algebra $\Lambda(V)$ of a vector space V over a field k is defined as the quotient algebra of the tensor algebra $\mathcal{T}(V)$ by the two-sided ideal $\langle x \otimes x \rangle$ with $x \in V$, that is

$$\Lambda(V) = \mathcal{T}(V) / \langle x \otimes x \rangle.$$

The coset of an element $x_1 \otimes x_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_n$ is denoted as $x_1 \wedge x_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_n$. Note that if the dimension of V is n , any coset $x_1 \wedge x_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_\ell$ with $\ell > n$ is zero. Let us consider $\Lambda(k^2)$.

If $\{x_1, x_2\}$ is a basis of k^2 , there is a k -linear map

$$k^2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(\text{Mat}_2(k)) \otimes \Lambda(k^2)$$

given by

$$x_1 \longmapsto X_{11} \otimes x_1 + X_{12} \otimes x_2$$

$$x_2 \longmapsto X_{21} \otimes x_1 + X_{22} \otimes x_2$$

By the universal property of $\mathcal{T}(k^2)$ there exists a unique k -algebra homomorphism

$$\mathcal{T}(k^2) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(\text{Mat}_2(k)) \otimes \Lambda(k^2).$$

Let us see that this mapping factors through the tensor algebra.

(2.5)

$$\begin{aligned}
x_1 \otimes x_1 &\longmapsto (X_{11} \otimes x_1 + X_{12} \otimes x_2)(X_{11} \otimes x_1 + X_{12} \otimes x_2) \\
&= X_{11}^2 \otimes x_1 \wedge x_1 + X_{11}X_{12} \otimes x_1 \wedge x_2 + X_{12}X_{11} \otimes x_2 \wedge x_1 + X_{12}^2 \otimes x_2 \wedge x_2 \\
&= X_{11}X_{12} \otimes x_1 \wedge x_2 - X_{11}X_{12} \otimes x_1 \wedge x_2 \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
x_1 \otimes x_2 &\longmapsto (X_{11} \otimes x_1 + X_{12} \otimes x_2)(X_{21} \otimes x_1 + X_{22} \otimes x_2) \\
&= X_{11}X_{21} \otimes x_1 \wedge x_1 + X_{11}X_{22} \otimes x_1 \wedge x_2 + X_{12}X_{21} \otimes x_2 \wedge x_1 + X_{12}X_{22} \otimes x_2 \wedge x_2 \\
&= X_{11}X_{22} - X_{12}X_{21} \otimes x_1 \wedge x_2
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
x_2 \otimes x_1 &\longmapsto (X_{21} \otimes x_1 + X_{22} \otimes x_2)(X_{11} \otimes x_1 + X_{12} \otimes x_2) \\
&= X_{21}X_{11} \otimes x_1 \wedge x_1 + X_{22}X_{11} \otimes x_2 \wedge x_1 + X_{21}X_{12} \otimes x_1 \wedge x_2 + X_{22}X_{12} \otimes x_2 \wedge x_2 \\
&= -(X_{11}X_{22} - X_{12}X_{21}) \otimes x_1 \wedge x_2
\end{aligned}$$

Analogously, $x_2 \otimes x_2 \longmapsto 0$. Hence $x \otimes x \longmapsto 0$ for all $x \in k^2$. Therefore, there is a k -algebra homomorphism

$$\Lambda(k^2) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(\text{Mat}_2(k)) \otimes \Lambda(k)$$

sending

$$x_1 \wedge x_2 \longmapsto \det(X_{ij}) \otimes x_1 \wedge x_2.$$

Exercise I.1.F

The *quantum exterior algebra* $\Lambda_q(k^2)$ is defined to be the k -algebra given by generators ξ_1 and ξ_2 and relations

$$(2.6) \quad \xi_1^2 = 0 = \xi_2^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \xi_2\xi_1 = -q\xi_1\xi_2.$$

Consider the map $\phi : k \langle \xi_1, \xi_2 \rangle \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_q(\text{Mat}_2(k)) \otimes \Lambda_q(k^2)$ given by

$$\phi(\xi_1) = X_{11} \otimes \xi_1 + X_{12} \otimes \xi_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \phi(\xi_2) = X_{21} \otimes \xi_1 + X_{22} \otimes \xi_2.$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi(\xi_1\xi_1) &= (X_{11} \otimes \xi_1 + X_{12} \otimes \xi_2)(X_{11} \otimes \xi_1 + X_{12} \otimes \xi_2) \\
&= X_{11}^2 \otimes \xi_1^2 + X_{12}X_{11} \otimes \xi_2\xi_1 + X_{11}X_{12} \otimes \xi_1\xi_2 + X_{12}^2 \otimes \xi_2^2 \\
&= (-qX_{12}X_{11} + X_{11}X_{12}) \otimes \xi_1\xi_2
\end{aligned}$$

Using 2.1 = 0

Analogously, $\phi(\xi_2^2) = 0$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi(\xi_2\xi_1) &= (X_{21} \otimes \xi_1 + X_{22} \otimes \xi_2)(X_{11} \otimes \xi_1 + X_{12} \otimes \xi_2) \\
&= X_{21}X_{11} \otimes \xi_1^2 + X_{22}X_{11} \otimes \xi_2\xi_1 + X_{21}X_{12} \otimes \xi_1\xi_2 + X_{22}X_{12} \otimes \xi_2^2
\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{By 2.6} = (X_{21}X_{12} - qX_{22}X_{11}) \otimes \xi_1\xi_2$$

$$\text{By 2.2} = (q^2X_{12}X_{21} - qX_{11}X_{22}) \otimes \xi_1\xi_2.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
 -q\phi(\xi_1\xi_2) &= -q(X_{11}1 \otimes \xi_1 + X_{12} \otimes \xi_2)(X_{21} \otimes \xi_1 + X_{22} \otimes \xi_2) \\
 &= -q[X_{11}X_{21} \otimes \xi_1^2 + X_{12}X_{21} \otimes \xi_2\xi_1 + X_{11}X_{22} \otimes \xi_1\xi_2 + X_{12}X_{22} \otimes \xi_2^2] \\
 \text{By 2.6} &= -q(X_{11}X_{22} - qX_{12}X_{21}) \otimes \xi_1\xi_2 \\
 &= (q^2X_{12}X_{21} - qX_{11}X_{22}) \otimes \xi_1\xi_2
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have a k -algebra homomorphism

$$\phi : \Lambda_q(k^2) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_q(\text{Mat}_2(k)) \otimes \Lambda_q(k^2).$$

Note that

$$\phi(\xi_1\xi_2) = (X_{11}X_{22} - qX_{12}X_{21}) \otimes \xi_1\xi_2.$$

Exercise I.1.G

Now, consider the element $D_q = X_{11}X_{22} - qX_{12}X_{21} \in \mathcal{O}_q(\text{Mat}_2(k))$. We claim that D_q is in the centre of $\mathcal{O}_q(\text{Mat}_2(k))$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 D_q X_{11} &= (X_{11}X_{22} - qX_{12}X_{21})X_{11} \\
 &= X_{11}X_{22}X_{11} - qX_{12}X_{21}X_{11} \\
 &= X_{11}X_{22}X_{11} - qX_{12}(q^{-1}X_{11}X_{21}) \\
 &= X_{11}X_{22}X_{11} - X_{12}X_{11}X_{21} \\
 &= X_{11}X_{22}X_{11} - q^{-1}X_{11}X_{12}X_{21} \\
 &= X_{11}(X_{22}X_{11} - q^{-1}X_{12}X_{21}) \\
 \text{By 2.2} &= X_{11}(X_{11}X_{22} - qX_{21}X_{12}) \\
 \text{By 2.3} &= X_{11}(X_{11}X_{22} - qX_{12}X_{21}) \\
 &= X_{11}D_q
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 D_q X_{12} &= (X_{11}X_{22} - qX_{12}X_{21})X_{12} \\
 &= X_{11}X_{22}X_{12} - qX_{12}X_{21}X_{12} \\
 &= X_{11}(q^{-1}X_{12}X_{22}) - qX_{12}X_{21}X_{12} \\
 &= X_{12}X_{11}X_{22} - qX_{12}X_{21}X_{12} \\
 &= X_{12}(X_{11}X_{22} - qX_{21}X_{12}) \\
 &= X_{12}(X_{11}X_{22} - qX_{12}X_{21}) \\
 &= X_{12}D_q
 \end{aligned}$$

In the same way, it can be seen that D_q commutes with all the generators. Thus D_q is a central element. Therefore, we can define

$$\mathcal{O}_q(Sl_2(k)) = \mathcal{O}(\text{Mat}_2(k)) / \langle D_q - 1 \rangle$$

Exercise I.1.H

Let see that the comultiplication Δ and the counit ε in $\mathcal{O}_q(\text{Mat}_2(k))$ induce a multiplication and a counit in $\mathcal{O}_q(Sl_2(k))$. For, consider $\Delta : \mathcal{O}_q(\text{Mat}_2(k)) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_q(Sl_2(k)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_q(Sl_2(k))$ given by

$$\Delta(X_{ij}) = X_{i1} \otimes X_{1j} + X_{i2} \otimes X_{2j}.$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta(X_{11})\Delta(X_{22}) &= (X_{11} \otimes X_{11} + X_{12} \otimes X_{21})(X_{21} \otimes X_{12} + X_{22} \otimes X_{22}) \\
&= X_{11}X_{21} \otimes X_{11}X_{12} + X_{12}X_{21} \otimes X_{21}X_{12} + X_{11}X_{22} \otimes X_{11}X_{22} + X_{12}X_{22} \otimes X_{21}X_{22} \\
q\Delta(X_{12})\Delta(X_{21}) &= q(X_{11} \otimes X_{12} + X_{12} \otimes X_{22})(X_{21} \otimes X_{11} + X_{22} \otimes X_{21}) \\
&= q[X_{11}X_{21} \otimes X_{12}X_{11} + X_{12}X_{21} \otimes X_{22}X_{11} + X_{11}X_{22} \otimes X_{12}X_{21} + X_{12}X_{22} \otimes X_{22}X_{21}] \\
&= X_{11}X_{21} \otimes qX_{12}X_{11} + X_{12}X_{21} \otimes qX_{22}X_{11} + X_{11}X_{22} \otimes qX_{12}X_{21} + X_{12}X_{22} \otimes qX_{22}X_{21}
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta(D_q) &= \Delta(X_{11}X_{22} - qX_{12}X_{21}) \\
&= X_{12}X_{21} \otimes (X_{21}X_{12} - qX_{22}X_{11}) + X_{11}X_{22} \otimes (X_{11}X_{22} - qX_{12}X_{21}) \\
&= X_{12}X_{21} \otimes (q^2X_{12}X_{21} - qX_{11}X_{22}) + X_{11}X_{22} \otimes 1 \\
&= -qX_{12}X_{21} \otimes (X_{11}X_{22} - qX_{12}X_{21}) + X_{11}X_{22} \otimes 1 \\
&= X_{11}X_{22} - qX_{12}X_{21} \otimes 1 \\
&= 1 \otimes 1
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $\Delta(D_q - 1) = 0$ and so, we have a k -algebra homomorphism

$$\Delta : \mathcal{O}_q(Sl_2(k)) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_q(Sl_2(k)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_q(Sl_2(k)).$$

Recall that the counit $\varepsilon : \mathcal{O}_q(\text{Mat}_2(k)) \longrightarrow k$ is given by $\varepsilon(X_{ij}) = \delta_{ij}$. Then,

$$\varepsilon(D_q) = \varepsilon(X_{11}X_{22} - qX_{12}X_{21}) = \varepsilon(X_{11}X_{22}) - \varepsilon(qX_{12}X_{21}) = 1.$$

Thus $\varepsilon(D_q - 1) = 0$, and so, we have a k -algebra homomorphism

$$\varepsilon : \mathcal{O}_q(Sl_2(k)) \longrightarrow k.$$

This implies that $(\mathcal{O}_q(Sl_2(k)), \Delta, \varepsilon)$ is a bialgebra.

Given an algebra A , the opposite algebra A^{op} is the algebra such that as k -vector space is equal to A and the product is given by $a \cdot b = ba \in A$.

Consider the following map $S : k\langle X_{11}, X_{12}, X_{21}, X_{22} \rangle \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_q(Sl_2(k))^{op}$ defined in generators as

$$\begin{aligned}
S(X_{11}) &= X_{22} & S(X_{12}) &= -q^{-1}X_{12} \\
S(X_{21}) &= -qX_{21} & S(X_{22}) &= X_{11}.
\end{aligned}$$

We have to check that this k -algebra homomorphism can be defined from $\mathcal{O}_q(Sl_q(k))$, that is, we have to check that S respects the relations 2.1, 2.3, 2.4 and $S(D_q) = 1$.

$$\begin{aligned}
S(X_{12}X_{22}) &= S(X_{22})S(X_{12}) \\
&= -q^{-1}X_{11}X_{12} \\
&= -X_{12}X_{11} \\
&= S(qX_{22}X_{12}).
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 S(X_{12}X_{21}) &= S(X_{21})S(X_{12}) \\
 &= (-qX_{21})(-q^{-1}X_{12}) \\
 &= X_{21}X_{12} \\
 &= X_{12}X_{21} \\
 &= (-q^{-1}X_{12})(-qX_{21}) \\
 &= S(X_{21}X_{12})
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 S(X_{11}X_{22} - X_{22}X_{11}) &= S(X_{11}X_{22}) - S(X_{22}X_{11}) \\
 &= X_{11}X_{22} - X_{22}X_{11} \\
 &= (q - q^{-1})X_{12}X_{21} \\
 &= (q - q^{-1})S(X_{12}X_{21}).
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 S(D_q) &= S(X_{11}X_{22} - qX_{12}X_{21}) \\
 &= X_{11}X_{22} - X_{12}X_{21} \\
 &= 1
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have an anti-automorphism

$$S : \mathcal{O}_q(Sl_2(k)) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_q(Sl_q(k)).$$

Now, note that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (id * S)(X_{11}) &= X_{11}S(X_{11}) + X_{12}S(X_{21}) \\
 &= X_{11}X_{22} - qX_{12}X_{21} \\
 &= 1 \\
 &= u\varepsilon(X_{11})
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (id * S)(X_{12}) &= X_{11}S(X_{12}) + X_{12}S(X_{22}) \\
 &= -q^{-1}X_{11}X_{12} + X_{12}X_{11} \\
 &= 0 \\
 &= u\varepsilon(X_{12})
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $(\mathcal{O}_q(Sl_2(k)), \Delta, \varepsilon, S)$ is a Hopf algebra.

Exercise I.1.I

Exercise I.1.J

Consider the quantum plane

$$\mathcal{O}_q(k^2) = k \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle / \langle x_2x_1 - q^{-1}x_1x_2 \rangle.$$

Hence, the reduction system is just

$$\{(x_2x_1, q^{-1}x_1x_2)\}.$$

That implies that there are no inclusion ambiguities and overlap ambiguities. Note that the irreducible monomials are $x_1^i x_2^j$ for all $i, j > 0$. Thus, by the Diamond Lemma ([1, I.11.6]), $\{x_1^i x_2^j \mid i, j \geq 0\}$ is a basis for the quantum plane.

Exercise I.1.K

Using the Diamond Lemma, it can be seen that the monomials $a^\bullet b^\bullet c^\bullet d^\bullet$ in $\mathcal{O}(\text{Mat}_2(k))$ are linearly independent ([1, I.11.7]). We claim that d is a regular element of $\mathcal{O}_q(\text{Mat}_2(k))$. Let $x \in \mathcal{O}_q(\text{Mat}_2(k))$ such that $xd = 0$. We can write $x = \sum_{i=1}^n k_i a^{\ell_i} b^{m_i} c^{n_i} d^{j_i}$. Then

$$0 = xd = \sum_{i=1}^n k_i a^{\ell_i} b^{m_i} c^{n_i} d^{j_i} d = \sum_{i=1}^n k_i a^{\ell_i} b^{m_i} c^{n_i} d^{j_i+1}.$$

This implies that $k_i = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Therefore $x = 0$.

Now suppose $dx = 0$, that is,

$$0 = d \sum_{i=1}^n k_i a^{\ell_i} b^{m_i} c^{n_i} d^{j_i} = \sum_{i=1}^n k_i da^{\ell_i} b^{m_i} c^{n_i} d^{j_i}.$$

Let us look at the term da^{ℓ_i} and suppose $\ell_i > 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} da^{\ell_i} &= (da)a^{\ell_i-1} \\ &= (ad - \widehat{q}bc)a^{\ell_i-1} \\ &= ada^{\ell_i-1} - \widehat{q}bca^{\ell_i-1} \\ &= ada^{\ell_i-1} - \widehat{q}bq^{-(\ell_i-1)}a^{\ell_i-1}c \\ &= ada^{\ell_i-1} - \widehat{q}q^{-2(\ell_i-1)}a^{\ell_i-1}bc \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$da^{\ell_i} = a^{\ell_i}d - \sum_{h=1}^{\ell_i} \widehat{q}q^{-2(\ell_i-h)}a^{\ell_i-h}bc.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \sum_{i=1}^n k_i da^{\ell_i} b^{m_i} c^{n_i} d^{j_i} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n k_i \left(a^{\ell_i}d - \sum_{h=1}^{\ell_i} \widehat{q}q^{-2(\ell_i-h)}a^{\ell_i-h}bc \right) b^{m_i} c^{n_i} d^{j_i} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n k_i a^{\ell_i} db^{m_i} c^{n_i} d^{j_i} - \sum_{h=1}^{\ell_i} k_i \widehat{q}q^{-2(\ell_i-h)}a^{\ell_i-h}bcb^{m_i} c^{n_i} d^{j_i} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n k_i a^{\ell_i} q^{-m_i} q^{-n_i} b^{m_i} c^{n_i} dd^{j_i} - \sum_{h=1}^{\ell_i} k_i \widehat{q}q^{-2(\ell_i-h)}a^{\ell_i-h}b^{m_i+1}c^{n_i+1}d^{j_i} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n k_i q^{-(m_i+n_i)} a^{\ell_i} b^{m_i} c^{n_i} d^{j_i+1} - \sum_{h=1}^{\ell_i} k_i \widehat{q}q^{-2(\ell_i-h)}a^{\ell_i-h}b^{m_i+1}c^{n_i+1}d^{j_i} \end{aligned}$$

Note that all the monomials are different and since they are linearly independent, we have that $0 = k_i q^{-(m_i+n_i)}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ which implies that $k_i = 0$. Thus $x = 0$ and so d is a regular element.

Note that with a similar argument we have that $dA \cap A = 0 = Ad \cap A$ where A is the k -subalgebra of $\mathcal{O}_q(\text{Mat}_2(k^2))$ generated by a, b, c .

Exercise I.1.L

Let $R \subseteq S$ be rings, and suppose that there is a regular element $d \in S$ such that $dR + R = Rd + R$ and $dR \cap R = 0 = Rd \cap R$. Then there are unique maps $\tau, \delta : R \rightarrow R$ such that $dr = \tau(r)d + \delta(r)$ for all $r \in R$. Show that τ is an automorphism of R and that δ is a τ -derivation on R .

Since $dR + R = Rd + R$, for $r \in R$, let $\tau(r)$ and $\delta(r)$ elements in R such that $dr = \tau(r)d + \delta(r)$. If $dr = ad + b = cd + e$, then $(a - c)d = e - b \in Rd \cap R = 0$. Hence $(a - c)d = 0$. Since d is regular, $a = c$, and so $b = e$. Therefore, $\tau(r)$ and $\delta(r)$ are unique and so we have functions $\tau, \delta : R \rightarrow R$. Analogously, there exist τ' and δ' such that $rd = d\tau'(r) + \delta'(r)$. Let $r, t \in R$. Then $d(r + t) = \tau(r + t)d + \delta(r + t)$. On the other hand,

$$d(r + t) = dr + dt = \tau(r)d + \delta(r) + \tau(t)d + \delta(t) = (\tau(r) + \tau(t))d + \delta(r) + \delta(t).$$

It follows that $\tau(r + t) = \tau(r) + \tau(t)$ and $\delta(r + t) = \delta(r) + \delta(t)$. Note that, if $\tau(r) = 0$, then $dr = \delta(r) \in dR \cap R = 0$. Hence $dr = 0$ and so $r = 0$. Thus, τ and τ' are injective. Now, we have that

$$\tau(r)d = d\tau'(\tau(r)) + \delta'(\tau(r)) = \tau\tau'\tau(r)d + \delta(\tau'\tau(r)) + \delta'(\tau(r)).$$

Hence $\tau(r) = \tau\tau'\tau(r)$. It follows that $\tau'\tau(r) = r$. Analogously, $\tau\tau'(r) = r$. On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} drs &= \tau(r)ds + \delta(r)s = \tau(r)(\tau(s)d + \delta(s)) + \delta(r)s \\ &= \tau(r)\tau(s)d + \tau(r)\delta(s) + \delta(r)s. \\ drs &= \tau(rs)d + \delta(rs). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\tau(rs) = \tau(r)\tau(s)$ and $\delta(rs) = \tau(r)\delta(s) + \delta(r)s$. Thus, τ is an automorphism of R and δ is a τ -derivation on R .

Exercise I.1.M

Let $F = k \langle X_1, \dots, X_t \rangle$ be the free algebra over k on letters X_1, \dots, X_t and let τ be a k -algebra endomorphism of F . Given any $f_1, \dots, f_t \in F$ show that there exists a unique k -linear τ -derivation δ on F such that $\delta(X_i) = f_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq t$.

Let $\phi : F \rightarrow \text{Mat}_2(F)$ be the k -algebra homomorphism given by $\phi(X_i) = \begin{pmatrix} \tau(X_i) & f_i \\ 0 & X_i \end{pmatrix}$. Then

$$\phi(X_i X_j) = \phi(X_i)\phi(X_j) = \begin{pmatrix} \tau(X_i) & f_i \\ 0 & X_i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \tau(X_j) & f_j \\ 0 & X_j \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \tau(X_i)\tau(X_j) & \tau(X_i)f_j + f_i X_j \\ 0 & X_i X_j \end{pmatrix}.$$

So, define $\delta : F \rightarrow F$ as $\delta(X) = (1 \ 0)\phi(X) \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ for all $X \in F$. Then $\delta(X_i X_j) = \tau(X_i)f_j + f_i X_j$. Consider X_1 and $X_{i(1)} \cdots X_{i(t)}$ any monomial. We are going to prove that δ is a τ -derivation on F by induction on t . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} &\delta(X_1 X_{i(1)} \cdots X_{i(t)}) \\ &= (1 \ 0)\phi(X_1 X_{i(1)} \cdots X_{i(t)}) \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= (1 \ 0)\phi(X_1)\phi(X_{i(1)} \cdots X_{i(t)}) \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= (1 \ 0) \begin{pmatrix} \tau(X_1) & f_1 \\ 0 & X_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \tau(X_{i(1)} \cdots X_{i(t)}) & \tau(X_{i(1)})\delta(X_{i(2)} \cdots X_{i(t)}) + \delta(X_{i(1)})X_{i(2)} \cdots X_{i(t)} \\ 0 & X_{i(1)} \cdots X_{i(t)} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \tau(X_1)(\tau(X_{i(1)})\delta(X_{i(2)} \cdots X_{i(t)}) + \delta(X_{i(1)})X_{i(2)} \cdots X_{i(t)}) + f_1 X_{i(1)} \cdots X_{i(t)} \\ &= \tau(X_1)\delta(X_{i(1)} \cdots X_{i(t)}) + \delta(X_1)X_{i(1)} \cdots X_{i(t)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence δ is a τ -derivation on F , and it is clear that δ is unique.

Now let $I = \langle G \rangle$ be the ideal generated by some set $G \subseteq F$. If $\tau(g), \delta(g) \in I$ for all $g \in G$, show that I is stable under τ and δ . Let $r, s \in F$ and $g \in G$. Then

$\tau(rgs) = \tau(r)\tau(g)\tau(s)$. By hypothesis, $\tau(g) \in I$, hence $\tau(rgs) \in I$. On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}\delta(rgs) &= \tau(r)\delta(gs) + \delta(r)gs \\ &= \tau(r)(\tau(g)\delta(s) + \delta(g)s) + \delta(r)gs \\ &= \tau(r)\tau(g)\delta(s) + \tau(r)\delta(g)s + \delta(r)gs.\end{aligned}$$

Since $\tau(g), \delta(g), g \in I$, $\delta(rgs) \in I$. It follows that τ induces a k -algebra endomorphism of F/I and δ induces a $\bar{\tau}$ -derivation on F/I .

Exercise I.1.M

Consider the algebra $\mathcal{O}_q(\text{Mat}_2(k))$. We want to use the model approach to see that this algebra is isomorphic to an iterated skew polynomial ring. First, we construct an iterated skew polynomial algebra

$$B = k[x][y; \sigma_2][z; \sigma_3]$$

where $k[x]$ is a polynomial ring, σ_2 is the k -algebra automorphism of $k[x]$ such that $\sigma_2(x) = q^{-1}x$, and σ_3 is the k -algebra automorphism of $k[x][y; \sigma_2]$ such that $\sigma_3(x) = q^{-1}x$ and $\sigma_3(y) = y$. Consider the k -algebra homomorphism $\sigma_4 : k\langle x, y, z \rangle \rightarrow k\langle x, y, z \rangle$ given by $\sigma_4(x) = x$, $\sigma_4(y) = q^{-1}y$ and $\sigma_4(z) = q^{-1}z$. By exercise I.1.L there exists a unique σ_4 -derivation δ_4 on $k\langle x, y, z \rangle$ such that $\delta_4(x) = (q^{-1} - q)yz$ and $\delta_4(y) = 0 = \delta_4(z)$. Consider the ideal

$$I = \langle yx - q^{-1}xy, zx - q^{-1}xz, zy - yz \rangle.$$

Hence $B = k\langle x, y, z \rangle / I$. Let see that the images of the generators of I under δ_4 are in I .

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_4(yx) &= \sigma_4(y)\delta_4(x) + \delta_4(y)x \\ &= q^{-1}y(q^{-1} - q)yz \\ &= (q^{-2} - 1)yyz.\delta(xy) = \sigma_4(x)\delta_4(y) + \delta_4(x)y = (q^{-1} - q)yz y.\end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\delta_4(yx - q^{-1}xy) = (q^{-2} - 1)yyz - q^{-1}(q^{-1} - q)yz y = (q^{-2} - 1)y(yz - zy).$$

We also have that,

$$\delta_4(zy) = \sigma_4(z)\delta_4(y) + \delta_4(z)y = 0 = \delta_4(yz).$$

And,

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_4(zx) &= \sigma_4(z)\delta_4(x) + \delta_4(z)x \\ &= q^{-1}z(q^{-1} - q)yz \\ &= (q^{-2} - 1)zyz. \\ \delta_4(xz) &= \sigma_4(x)\delta_4(z) + \delta_4(x)z \\ &= (q^{-1} - q)yz z.\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\delta_4(zx - q^{-1}xz) = (q^{-2} - 1)zyz - q^{-1}(q^{-1} - q)yz z = (q^{-2} - 1)(zy - yz)z.$$

For the automorphism σ_4 , we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_4(yx) &= q^{-1}yx \\ \sigma_4(xy) &= x(q^{-1}y)\end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\sigma_4(yx - q^{-1}xy) = q^{-1}yx - q^{-2}xy = q^{-1}(yx - q^{-1}xy).$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_4(zx) &= q^{-1}zx \\ \sigma_4(xz) &= x(q^{-1}z)\end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\sigma_4(zx - q^{-1}xz) = q^{-1}zx - q^{-2}xz = q^{-1}(zx - q^{-1}xz)$$

And,

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_4(zy) &= q^{-1}zq^{-1}y = q^{-2}zy \\ \sigma_4(yz) &= q^{-1}yq^{-1}z = q^{-2}yz\end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\sigma_4(zy - yz) = q^{-2}zy - q^{-2}yz = q^{-2}(yz - zy).$$

Thus, σ_4 induces an automorphism of B and δ_4 induces a σ_4 -derivation on B .

Exercise I.1.O

Construct a k -algebra isomorphism of $\mathcal{O}_q(GL_2(k))$ onto Laurent polynomial ring $\mathcal{O}_q(Sl_2(k))[z^{\pm}]$. Consider the k -algebra homomorphism

$$\phi : k \langle X_{11}, X_{12}, X_{21}, X_{22} \rangle \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_q(Sl_2(k))[z^{\pm}]$$

given by $\phi(X_{11}) = \overline{X_{11}z}$, $\phi(X_{12}) = \overline{X_{12}z}$, $\phi(X_{21}) = \overline{X_{21}}$ and $\phi(X_{22}) = \overline{X_{22}}$. We have to check that ϕ preserves the relations 2.1,2.3,2.4.

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(X_{11}X_{21}) &= \phi(X_{11})\phi(X_{21}) \\ &= \overline{X_{11}z}\overline{X_{21}} \\ &= z\overline{X_{11}X_{21}} \\ &= qz\overline{X_{21}X_{11}}. \\ q\phi(X_{21}X_{11}) &= q\phi(X_{21})\phi(X_{11}) \\ &= q\overline{X_{21}}\overline{X_{11}z} \\ &= qz\overline{X_{21}X_{11}}.\end{aligned}$$

Analogously the other relations in 2.1 are preserved. For 2.3,

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(X_{12}X_{21}) &= \phi(X_{12})\phi(X_{21}) \\ &= \overline{X_{12}z}\overline{X_{21}} \\ &= \overline{X_{21}X_{12}z} \\ &= \phi(X_{21})\phi(X_{12}) \\ &= \phi(X_{21}X_{12}).\end{aligned}$$

For 2.4,

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(X_{11}X_{22} - X_{22}X_{11}) &= \phi(X_{11})\phi(X_{22}) - \phi(X_{22})\phi(X_{11}) \\ &= \overline{X_{11}z}\overline{X_{22}} - \overline{X_{22}}\overline{X_{11}z} \\ &= (\overline{X_{11}X_{22}} - \overline{X_{22}X_{11}})z \\ &= (q - q^{-1})\overline{X_{21}X_{12}z} \\ &= (q - q^{-1})\phi(X_{21})\phi(X_{12}) \\ &= \phi((q - q^{-1})X_{21}X_{12}).\end{aligned}$$

Thus, there is a k -algebra homomorphism

$$\widehat{\phi} : \mathcal{O}_q(\text{Mat}_2(k)) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_q(\text{Sl}_2(k))[z^\pm].$$

Note that,

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\phi}(D_q) &= \widehat{\phi}(X_{11}X_{22} - qX_{12}X_{21}) \\ &= \widehat{\phi}(X_{11})\widehat{\phi}(X_{22}) - q\widehat{\phi}(X_{12})\widehat{\phi}(X_{21}) \\ &= z\overline{X_{11}X_{22}} - qz\overline{X_{12}X_{21}} \\ &= z(\overline{X_{11}X_{22}} - q\overline{X_{12}X_{21}}) \\ &= z. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\widehat{\phi}$ sends D_q to an invertible element and so there exists a k -algebra homomorphism

$$\overline{\phi} : \mathcal{O}_q(\text{GL}_2(k)) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_q(\text{Sl}_2(k))[z^\pm].$$

In order to see that $\overline{\phi}$ is an isomorphism, we will give its inverse.

Define $\psi : k \langle X_{11}, X_{12}, X_{21}, X_{22} \rangle \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_q(\text{GL}_n(k))$ as $\psi(X_{11}) = \frac{X_{11}}{D_q}$, $\psi(X_{12}) = \frac{X_{12}}{D_q}$, $\psi(X_{21}) = X_{21}$ and $\psi(X_{22}) = X_{22}$. It is not difficult to see that ψ induces a k -algebra homomorphism

$$\widehat{\psi} : \mathcal{O}_q(\text{Mat}_2(k)) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_q(\text{GL}_2(k)).$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\psi}(D_q) &= \widehat{\psi}(X_{11}X_{22} - qX_{12}X_{21}) \\ &= \widehat{\psi}(X_{11})\widehat{\psi}(X_{22}) - q\widehat{\psi}(X_{12})\widehat{\psi}(X_{21}) \\ &= \frac{X_{11}}{D_q}X_{22} - q\frac{X_{12}}{D_q}X_{21} \\ &= \frac{X_{11}X_{22} - qX_{12}X_{21}}{D_q} \\ &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\widehat{\psi}$ induces a k -algebra homomorphism

$$\widehat{\psi}' : \mathcal{O}_q(\text{Sl}_2(k)) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_q(\text{GL}_2(k)).$$

Hence, there exists a k -algebra homomorphism

$$\overline{\psi} : \mathcal{O}_q(\text{Sl}_2(k))[z^\pm] \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_q(\text{GL}_2(k))$$

sending $\overline{\psi}(z) = D_q$. It is clear that $\overline{\psi}$ is the inverse of $\overline{\phi}$.

Exercise I.3.A

Let $r, s \in R$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(r)\phi(s) &= \begin{pmatrix} \alpha(r) & \delta(r) \\ 0 & r \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha(s) & \delta(s) \\ 0 & s \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \alpha(r)\alpha(s) & \alpha(r)\delta(s) + \delta(r)s \\ 0 & rs \end{pmatrix} \\ \phi(rs) &= \begin{pmatrix} \alpha(rs) & \delta(rs) \\ 0 & rs \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that $\phi(rs) = \phi(r)\phi(s)$ if and only if δ is an α -derivation.

Ch. I.3 Proposition

Proof. Let $\tau_1 : k[K, K^{-1}] \rightarrow k[K, K^{-1}]$ be the automorphism given by $\tau_1(K) = q^{-2}K$. Then we can construct the skew polynomial ring $k[K, K^{-1}][E; \tau_1]$. Note that,

$$KEK^{-1} = K\tau_1(K^{-1})E = Kq^2K^{-1}E = q^2E.$$

Let $\tau : k[K, K^{-1}] \rightarrow k[K, K^{-1}][E; \tau_1]$ given by $\tau(K) = q^2K$. Then

$$E\tau(K) = Eq^2K = KE = \tau(\tau_1(K))E$$

$$E\tau(K^{-1}) = Eq^{-2}K^{-1} = K^{-1}E = \tau(\tau_1(K^{-1}))E.$$

By the universal property of skew polynomial rings [6, 2.5], there exists a unique automorphism

$$\tau_2 : k[K, K^{-1}][E; \tau_1] \rightarrow k[K, K^{-1}][E; \tau_1]$$

sending $\tau_2(K) = q^2K$ and $\tau_2(E) = E$. Consider the following ring homomorphism

$$\phi : k\langle K, K^{-1}, E \rangle \rightarrow \text{Mat}_2(k[K, K^{-1}][E; \tau_1])$$

given by $\phi(K) = \begin{pmatrix} q^2K & 0 \\ 0 & K \end{pmatrix}$ and $\phi(E) = \begin{pmatrix} E & \frac{K^{-1}-K}{q-q^{-1}} \\ 0 & E \end{pmatrix}$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(\tau_1(K)E) &= \phi(q^{-2}KE) \\ &= q^{-2} \begin{pmatrix} q^2K & 0 \\ 0 & K \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} E & \frac{K^{-1}-K}{q-q^{-1}} \\ 0 & E \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} KE & \frac{1-K^2}{q-q^{-1}} \\ 0 & q^{-2}KE \end{pmatrix} \\ \phi(EK) &= \begin{pmatrix} E & \frac{K^{-1}-K}{q-q^{-1}} \\ 0 & E \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} q^2K & 0 \\ 0 & K \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} q^2EK & \frac{1-K^2}{q-q^{-1}} \\ 0 & EK \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} KE & \frac{1-K^2}{q-q^{-1}} \\ 0 & q^{-2}KE \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Thus ϕ induces a ring homomorphism $\bar{\phi} : k[K, K^{-1}][E; \tau_1] \rightarrow \text{Mat}_2(k[K, K^{-1}][E; \tau_1])$. By exercise I.3.A there exists a unique τ_2 -derivation δ_2 on $k[K, K^{-1}][E; \tau_1]$ such that $\delta_2(K) = 0$ and $\delta_2(E) = \frac{K^{-1}-K}{q-q^{-1}}$. So we have an iterated skew polynomial ring $A = k[K, K^{-1}][E; \tau_1][F; \tau_2, \delta_2]$. To see the isomorphism, we only have to check that the defining relations in $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2(k))$ are valid in A . For,

$$KEK^{-1} = K\tau_1(K^{-1})E = Kq^2K^{-1}E = q^2E.$$

$$KFK^{-1} = K(\tau_2(K^{-1})F + \delta_2(K^{-1})) = Kq^{-2}K^{-1}F = q^{-2}F.$$

$$FE = \tau_2(E)F + \delta_2(E) = EF + \frac{K^{-1} - K}{q - q^{-1}}$$

then $EF - FE = \frac{K^{-1}-K}{q-q^{-1}}$. Thus, $A \cong \mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2(k))$. \square

Hopf algebra structure of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2(k))$

We have that

$$\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2(k)) = \frac{k\langle E, F, K, K^{-1} \rangle}{\left\langle KEK^{-1} - q^2E, KFK^{-1} - q^{-2}F, EF - FE - \frac{K-K^{-1}}{q-q^{-1}} \right\rangle}$$

There is a k -algebra homomorphism

$$\Delta : k\langle E, F, K, K^{-1} \rangle \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2(k)) \otimes \mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2(k))$$

sending $\Delta(E) = E \otimes 1 + K \otimes E$, $\Delta(F) = F \otimes K^{-1} + 1 \otimes F$ and $\Delta(K) = K \otimes K$.

Let us check that Δ preserves the relations.

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta(KEK^{-1}) &= \Delta(K)\Delta(E)\Delta(K^{-1}) \\
&= (K \otimes K)(E \otimes 1 + K \otimes E)(K^{-1} \otimes K^{-1}) \\
&= (KE \otimes K + K^2 \otimes KE)(K^{-1} \otimes K^{-1}) \\
&= KEK^{-1} \otimes 1 + K \otimes KEK^{-1} \\
&= -q^2E \otimes 1 + K \otimes -q^2E \\
&= -q^2(E \otimes 1 + K \otimes E) \\
&= \Delta(-q^2E)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta(KFK^{-1}) &= \Delta(K)\Delta(F)\Delta(K^{-1}) \\
&= (K \otimes K)(F \otimes K^{-1} + 1 \otimes F)(K^{-1} \otimes K^{-1}) \\
&= (KF \otimes 1 + K \otimes KF)(K^{-1} \otimes K^{-1}) \\
&= KFK^{-1} \otimes K^{-1} + 1 \otimes KFK^{-1} \\
&= q^{-2}F \otimes K^{-1} + 1 \otimes q^{-2}F \\
&= q^{-2}(F \otimes K^{-1} + 1 \otimes F) \\
&= \Delta(q^{-2}F)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta(EF) &= (E \otimes 1 + K \otimes E)(F \otimes K^{-1} + 1 \otimes F) \\
&= EF \otimes K^{-1} + E \otimes F + KF \otimes EK^{-1} + K \otimes EF
\end{aligned}$$

$$\Delta(FE) = FE \otimes K^{-1} + E \otimes F + FK \otimes K^{-1}E + K \otimes FE$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta(EF - FE) &= (EF - FE) \otimes K^{-1} + K \otimes (EF - FE) + q^{-2}FK \otimes EK^{-1} - FK \otimes K^{-1}E \\
&= \frac{(K - K^{-1})}{q - q^{-1}} \otimes K^{-1} + K \otimes \frac{(K - K^{-1})}{q - q^{-1}} + FK \otimes (q^{-2}EK^{-1} - K^{-1}E) \\
&= \frac{(K - K^{-1})}{q - q^{-1}} \otimes K^{-1} + K \otimes \frac{(K - K^{-1})}{q - q^{-1}} + FK \otimes (K^{-1}E - K^{-1}E) \\
&= \frac{K \otimes K^{-1} - K^{-1} \otimes K^{-1} + K \otimes K - K \otimes K^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}} \\
&= \frac{K \otimes K - K^{-1} \otimes K^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}} \\
&= \Delta\left(\frac{K - K^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

So, there is a unique k -algebra homomorphism

$$\Delta : \mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2(k)) \longrightarrow \mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2(k)) \otimes \mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2(k))$$

To see that Δ is coassociative, it just has to be proven in the generators.

Consider the k -algebra homomorphism

$$S : k \langle E, F, K, K^{-1} \rangle \longrightarrow \mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2(k))^{op}$$

given by $S(K) = K^{-1}$, $S(E) = -K^{-1}E$ and $S(F) = -FK$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} S(KEK^{-1}) &= S(K^{-1})S(KE) \\ &= S(K^{-1})S(E)S(K) \\ &= K(-K^{-1}E)K^{-1} \\ &= -EK^{-1} \\ &= -q^2K^{-1}E \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} S(q^2E) &= q^2S(E) \\ &= -q^2K^{-1}E \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} S(KFK^{-1}) &= S(K^{-1})S(F)S(K) \\ &= K(-FK)K^{-1} \\ &= -KF \\ &= -q^{-2}FK \end{aligned}$$

$$S(q^{-2}F) = -q^{-2}FK$$

$$S(EF) = (-FK)(-K^{-1}E) = FE$$

$$S(FE) = (-K^{-1}E)(-FK) = K^{-1}EFK$$

so,

$$\begin{aligned} S(EF - FE) &= FE - K^{-1}EFK \\ &= FE - (q^{-2}EK^{-1})(q^2KF) \\ &= FE - EF \\ &= \frac{K^{-1} - K}{q - q^{-1}} \\ &= S\left(\frac{K - K^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}}\right) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore S induces a unique k -algebra anti-homomorphism

$$S : \mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2(k)) \longrightarrow \mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2(k)).$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} S^2(K) &= S(K^{-1}) = K = K^{-1}KK \\ S^2(E) &= S(-K^{-1}E) = -S(E)S(K^{-1}) = K^{-1}EK \\ S^2(F) &= S(-FK) = -K^{-1}(-FK) = K^{-1}FK \end{aligned}$$

This implies that S is bijective and hence so is S . Now, let us prove that S is an inverse of Id with the convolution product.

$$\begin{aligned} (S * Id)(K) &= S(K)K \\ &= 1 \\ &= \varepsilon(K) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(S * Id)(E) &= S(E) + S(K)E \\
&= -K^{-1}E + K^{-1}E \\
&= 0 \\
&= \varepsilon(E)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(S * Id)(F) &= S(F)K^{-1} + F \\
&= -FKK^{-1} + F \\
&= -F + F \\
&= 0 \\
&= \varepsilon(F)
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.2. *Let H be a Hopf algebra. Let $a, b \in H$ such that $(S * Id)(a) = \varepsilon(a)$ and $(S * Id)(b) = \varepsilon(b)$. Then $(S * Id)(ab) = \varepsilon(ab)$.*

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
(S * Id)(ab) &= \mu \circ (S \otimes Id) \circ \Delta(ab) \\
&= \mu \circ (S \otimes Id)(\Delta(a)\Delta(b)) \\
&= \mu \circ (S \otimes Id)\left(\sum a_1 b_1 \otimes a_2 b_2\right) \\
&= \sum S(a_1 b_1) a_2 b_2 \\
&= \sum S(b_1) S(a_1) a_2 b_2 \\
&= \sum S(b_1) \varepsilon(a) b_2 \\
&= \varepsilon(a) \sum S(b_1) b_2 \\
&= \varepsilon(a) \varepsilon(b)
\end{aligned}$$

□

By the lemma S is an antipode for $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2(k))$. Therefore $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2(k))$ is a Hopf algebra.

Lemma 2.3. *Consider the quantum plane $\mathcal{O}_q(k^2)$. Then, the multiplicative set X in $\mathcal{O}_q(k^2)$ generated by x and y is a denominator set.*

Proof. Let $\sum f_i(x)y^i \in \mathcal{O}_q(k^2)$ and $q^\ell x^m y^n \in X$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum f_i(x)y^i (q^\ell x^m y^n) &= q^\ell \sum f_i(x) (q^{-im} x^m y^i) y^n \\
&= q^\ell x^m \sum q^{-im} f_i(x) y^n y^i
\end{aligned}$$

Suppose that $f_i(x) = a_{i_k} x^k + a_{i_{k-1}} x^{k-1} + \dots + a_{i_1} x + a_{i_0}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
(a_{i_k} x^k + a_{i_{k-1}} x^{k-1} + \dots + a_{i_1} x + a_{i_0}) y^n &= a_{i_k} x^k y^n + a_{i_{k-1}} x^{k-1} y^n + \dots + a_{i_1} x y^n + a_{i_0} y^n \\
&= q^{kn} y^n a_{i_k} x^k + q^{(k-1)n} y^n a_{i_{k-1}} x^{k-1} + \dots + q^n y^n a_{i_1} x + y^n a_{i_0}
\end{aligned}$$

For each i , define

$$g_i(x) = q^{kn} a_{i_k} x^k + q^{(k-1)n} a_{i_{k-1}} x^{k-1} + \dots + q^n a_{i_1} x + a_{i_0}$$

Then

$$\left(\sum f_i(x)y^i\right)q^\ell x^m y^n = (q^\ell x^m y^n) \sum q^{-im} g_i(x)y^i.$$

Thus, X is a left denominator set. Analogously, X is a right denominator set. \square

Exercise II.1.B

We claim that $\mathcal{O}_q((k^x)^2) = k \langle x, x^{-1}, y, y^{-1} \mid xy = qyx \rangle$ is a simple ring. Let I be a nonzero ideal of $\mathcal{O}_q((k^x)^2)$ and let $0 \neq a \in I$. Since I is an ideal, we can assume $a = \sum_{i=0}^n f_i(x)y^i \in \mathcal{O}_q(k^2)$. By induction on n , we will prove that I contains a unit.

$n = 0$. Then $a = f_0(x) = b_m x^m + \cdots + b_1 x + b_0$. By induction on m . If $m = 0$, $a = b_0 \in k$. Now, suppose that $m > 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} ya &= y(b_m x^m + \cdots + b_1 x + b_0) \\ &= b_m y x^m + \cdots + b_1 y x + b_0 y \\ &= q^{-m} b_m x^m y + \cdots + q^{-1} b_1 x y + b_0 y \end{aligned}$$

Since I is an ideal $ya - q^{-m} a y \in I$, and so

$$ya - q^{-m} a y = (q^{-(m-1)} - q^{-m}) b_{m-1} x^{m-1} y + \cdots + (q^{-1} - q^{-m}) b_1 x y + (1 - q^{-m}) b_0 y \in I$$

Since y is invertible,

$$(q^{-(m-1)} - q^{-m}) b_{m-1} x^{m-1} + \cdots + (q^{-1} - q^{-m}) b_1 x + (1 - q^{-m}) b_0 \in I.$$

By induction hypothesis, I contains a unit.

Now suppose $n > 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} ax &= f_n(x)y^n x + f_{n-1}(x)y^{n-1}x \cdots + f_1(x)yx + f_0(x)x \\ &= q^{-n} f_n(x)xy^n + q^{-(n-1)} f_{n-1}(x)xy^{n-1} \cdots + q^{-1} f_1(x)xy + f_0(x)x \end{aligned}$$

The difference $q^{-n} xa - ax \in I$, so

$$(q^{-n} - q^{-(n-1)}) f_{n-1}(x)xy^{n-1} \cdots + (q^{-n} - q^{-1}) f_1(x)xy + (q^{-n} - 1) f_0(x)x \in I.$$

By induction hypothesis, I must contain a unit.

Lemma 2.4. $(x\mathcal{O}_q(k^2))^i (y\mathcal{O}_q(k^2))^j = x^i y^j \mathcal{O}_q(k^2)$ for all $i, j \geq 0$.

Proof. Let $x^i y^j (\sum f_\ell(x)y^\ell) \in x^i y^j \mathcal{O}_q(k^2)$. Using the relation in $\mathcal{O}_q(k^2)$, we get

$$x^i y^j (\sum f_\ell(x)y^\ell) = x^i (\sum f'_\ell(x)y^\ell) y^j = (\sum x^i f_\ell(x)y^\ell) y^j \in (x\mathcal{O}_q(k^2))^i (y\mathcal{O}_q(k^2))^j.$$

On the other hand, consider

$$\left(x \sum_{\ell_1} f_{\ell_1}(x)y^{\ell_1}\right) \cdots \left(x \sum_{\ell_i} f_{\ell_i}(x)y^{\ell_i}\right) \left(y \sum_{m_1} g_{m_1}(x)y^{m_1}\right) \cdots \left(y \sum_{m_j} g_{m_j}(x)y^{m_j}\right) \in (x\mathcal{O}_q(k^2))^i (y\mathcal{O}_q(k^2))^j.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(x \sum_{\ell_1} f_{\ell_1}(x)y^{\ell_1}\right) \cdots \left(x \sum_{\ell_i} f_{\ell_i}(x)y^{\ell_i}\right) \left(y \sum_{m_1} g_{m_1}(x)y^{m_1}\right) \cdots \left(y \sum_{m_j} g_{m_j}(x)y^{m_j}\right) \\ &= \left(x^i \sum_{\ell_1} q^{\ell_1} f_{\ell_1}(x)y^{\ell_1}\right) \cdots \sum_{\ell_i} f_{\ell_i}(x)y^{\ell_i} \left(y^j \sum_{m_1} g'_{m_1}(x)y^{m_1}\right) \cdots \sum_{m_j} g'_{m_j}(x)y^{m_j} \\ &= \left(x^i y^j \sum_{\ell_1} q^{\ell_1} f'_{\ell_1}(x)y^{\ell_1}\right) \cdots \sum_{\ell_i} f'_{\ell_i}(x)y^{\ell_i} \sum_{m_1} g'_{m_1}(x)y^{m_1} \cdots \sum_{m_j} g'_{m_j}(x)y^{m_j} \in x^i y^j \mathcal{O}_q(k^2). \end{aligned}$$

□

Let P be a prime ideal of $\mathcal{O}_q(k^2)$. Since $\mathcal{O}_q((k^x)^2) = \mathcal{O}_q(k^2)[x^{-1}, y^{-1}]$ is simple, P must contain a product $x^i y^j \in P$. This implies that $x^i y^j \mathcal{O}_q(k^2) \subseteq P$. By the last lemma, $(x \mathcal{O}_q(k^2))^i (y \mathcal{O}_q(k^2))^j \subseteq P$. Thus $x \in P$ or $y \in P$ because P is prime.

REFERENCES

- [1] BROWN, K., AND GOODEARL, K. *Lectures on algebraic quantum groups*. Birkhäuser, 2012.
- [2] BRZEZINSKI, T., AND WISBAUER, R. *Corings and comodules*, vol. 309. Cambridge University Press, 2003.
- [3] CHARI, V., AND PRESSLEY, A. *A guide to quantum groups*. Cambridge university press, 1995.
- [4] HONG, J., AND KANG, S. *Introduction to quantum groups and crystal bases*, vol. 42. American Mathematical Soc., 2002.
- [5] HUMPHREYS, J. E. *Introduction to Lie algebras and representation theory*, vol. 9. Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.
- [6] MCCONNELL, J. C., ROBSON, J. C., AND SMALL, L. W. *Noncommutative noetherian rings*, vol. 30. American Mathematical Soc., 2001.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, NORTHERN ILLINOIS UNIVERSITY, DEKALB 60115,
ILLINOIS, US

Email address: mmedina6@niu.edu